


Q1 Do you agree with our vision of the sort of place Tadcaster will be in 2040?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	76.00% 114
No	17.33% 26
Don't Know	6.67% 10
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	not while smiths have control	1/14/2022 7:30 PM
2	cafe culture street scene suggests pedestrian areas. where will disabled residents who need vehicular access feature in this?	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
3	although without the support of humphrey smith you have no chance	1/14/2022 7:06 PM
4	vision depends on what humphrey smith agrees to	1/14/2022 6:58 PM
5	its a dream and will never happen	1/14/2022 6:48 PM
6	vision is all very well but everyones vision is different.	1/14/2022 6:45 PM
7	Not if it means the central car park being development	1/14/2022 11:07 AM
8	At last! Fabulous	1/14/2022 11:03 AM
9	It sounds wonderful but unachievable	1/14/2022 10:56 AM
10	Providing the government have the finances	1/12/2022 1:22 PM
11	wonderful but unachievable	12/21/2021 6:46 PM
12	I would like Tadcaster to be a small picturesque town not massively changed.	11/26/2021 4:12 PM
13	With some revisions	11/26/2021 1:01 PM
14	There should be a vision for at most 10 years. A 20 year vision is too far ahead as there are	11/26/2021 11:39 AM

many external factors which may need to be taken into account, for example measures to reduce global heating and deal with the impact of it.

15	This seems to be a set of constraints beyond normal Planning Rules	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
16	Policy Intention TC4 (Non-Planning Community Actions) mentions introducing town centre public Wi-Fi yet this intention not any of the Neighbourhood Development Plan 2021-2040 makes mention of working with providers to bring 5G connectivity to Tadcaster to improve mobile device coverage and improved access to high speed fibre, to support key workers working increasingly from home, in forever increasing smart homes. If Tadcaster is to remain so connected to the local geographic infrastructure, it must also embrace digital infrastructure also.	11/25/2021 11:22 AM
17	Tad is a hidden gem , which deserves to be vibrant and energetic , like the surrounding communities	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
18	I'd like to see it earlier than 2040	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
19	This question is phrased in a difficult way. If somebody has a vision of Tadcaster in 2040 as outlined in the document, then of course I can agree with the "vision" - it's somebody's vision. I think the question is trying to find out whether it is my "vision", and that's a tough one - for a first, and key, question, I think a different question should have been asked here.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
20	I disagree with proposals to building housing on the central car park. How can you say you want to bring in visitors and create a cafe/arts & heritage culture when there will be no where central to park cars/motorcycles and bicycles and it's not "GREEN" to dig up a car park that cost thousands of pounds of legal fees and tarmac to put in place. I also have an issue with some of the images used in your brochure particularly the ones "advertising" a certain brewery.....are the members of the steering group acting with impartiality? I am also against your proposals for an access to the A64 to Leeds at London Road and any Sports Hub at the site of Tadcaster Magnets/London Road, in part because of the sheer amount of additional traffic which would then use the road causing additional noise pollution and decreasing air quality.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
21	I agree with the vision in the main. One part of what drew me to Tadcaster was the quaintness and quietness of the place. I fear that additional housing developments would take this element of the village away however.	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
22	this seems very reminiscent of the proposals put forward by Sam Smiths Old Brewery some time back	11/15/2021 6:50 PM
23	Some of the sentiments I would agree with, but the inclusion of a riverside park makes me totally opposed. I strongly disagree that the riverside is "underused and and not fully realised resource" I would suggest that the natural environs of such a beautiful & historic area should be protected from being irreversible damage that playground equipment and other installations would bring. This is quite aside from the significant dangers from placing play equipment adjacent to an area frequently in flood.	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
24	I completely agree with it, I agree that this town does have major potential both culturally and economically, but also that we need to tackle major threats to these such as flooding and housing/ commercial abandonment.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
25	slightly worried we just dont want the town to loose its character with too many new houses	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
26	We agree with some elements of the plan -the biggest issues I have are the proposed building on the town centre car park when there is such a significant number of empty properties in the area that could be developed. Secondly the proposed pedestrianisation of Kirkgate/westgate will be a detriment to access to the town rather than encourage visitors	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
27	No mention of schools, doctors surgeries, new shops and the ability of current access roads to cope with additional traffic from new housing	11/7/2021 12:16 PM
28	I think it should go much further to help attract young people to stay and work here. Tadcaster has become so expensive to rent housing that no-one on an average local wage can afford to live here.	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
29	I like what I read but I'm not confident it will be achieved. We've seen something like this in the mid-1980's called 'The vision of Tadcaster'. The cynic in me thinks this will achieve the same	11/4/2021 5:05 PM

very limited levels of success whilst SSOB Tadcaster, a key landowner, continue to oppose anything synonymous with progress.

30	The aspiration for better road links to the A64 must include support for construction of the Tadcaster Bar Interchange at the eastern end of the Tadcaster ByPass. See further comment under Traffic, transport and travel.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
31	It is clear that this has not been looked at in a practical way and is "pie in the sky" no thought or back up plans for when the major landowner says no	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
32	It is a brilliant vision and let's get started ASAP	11/3/2021 8:54 PM
33	Only because we have been here many times before and most of your vision is stopped by one man.	11/3/2021 10:08 AM
34	It has the potential of the right people are involved and the whole community gets behind it	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
35	Particularly with regard to the numerous unoccupied houses and shops.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
36	These changes need to happen sooner rather than later. Tadcaster desperately needs change now. I understand this is a long term plan but this needs to happen before 2040.	11/1/2021 1:54 PM
37	The most important aim for me is the regeneration of derelict or vacant sites and buildings in the town. They are a blight on the town and if further development is planned the town needs to be capable of meeting the needs of the residents.	11/1/2021 10:08 AM
38	Would be very interested in seeing the vision and how things will look. Tadcaster is very depressed and tired looking and over the years has got progressively worse with the lack of investment and foresight. The town lacks so much and desperately needs this developing.	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
39	Unsure how you will achieve this, a large number of properties and land in Tadcaster is held by Samuel Smiths / Humphrey Smith , who then dictate how things progress , ie large number of empty properties etc	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
40	The vision statement is clearly aspirational and highlights the key areas for change between now and 2040.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
41	There is no need for any new housing in Tadcaster what so ever, we moved to Tadcaster because of its quiet and friendly nature, this was its main strong point for us, if this was not the case we would not have bothered even looking here. Introducing new housing risks disrupting this quiet haven. although this being said what really needs to happen is to bring back the derelict properties within Tadcaster, what is the point in spending money on new housing that nobody wants in the first place when theres many run down, empty, discarded historical buildings in dire need of restoration that could be used as housing without destroying the entire look and feel of this town not to mention its major "draw" for potential home owners like us and that is the peace and quiet.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
42	Really happy to see that the town we moved to only a few years ago will have more services we can use	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
43	Absolutely thrilled that this is taking shape and I and my family fully suport it. A little curious as to the 20 yeae time frame given as it could be detrimental to the town to take this long	10/30/2021 9:40 AM
44	Yes critical is to rejuvenate derelict and abandoned heritage assets in the centre	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
45	Tadcaster is a town in its own right with a proud heritage. Over development of the housing market will lead to a loss of identity becoming another dormitory of Leeds. Expansion of the road network is to be welcomed to reduce the amount of HGV traffic passing through Leeds Road	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
46	I believe it would be more pragmatic if you focused on the key areas with so many areas identified you will not achieve them all and a wider focus will dilute the effort and thus impact	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
47	There seem to be plenty of aspirations but there is little detail of how the Development Plan will be reached.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
48	Excellent	10/28/2021 7:27 PM
49	Theoretically Tadcaster could attract the same level and type of visitors as Wensleydale or Helmsley, but would require investment in developing the river, walks up and down the river ,	10/28/2021 7:24 PM

over the viaduct and cafe's on the riverside itself as well as sam smiths offering heritage brewery tours and of course bringing ALL the underutilised property back into use.

50	Do not agree with the loss of the central car park especially if more housing is built. I also do not agree with pedestrianising Kirkgate as the other roads cannot support the traffic flow. You also need more parking for the Police and Fire station staff north of Station Road. You also mention developing next to Fairfield road. That means the tennis courts which are protected under a trust unless the club agrees to suitable alternative accommodation. They have also lost their roadside parking due to the increase of home owner vehicle ownership and reluctance to use the allocated parking spaces and also because of the increased nuber of police attending the station.	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
51	About time the vacant boarded up buildings owned mainly by Sam Smiths, are renovated for public use..	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
52	Especially the repurposing of vacant properties into housing, cafes and shops.	10/28/2021 3:11 PM
53	Hopefully all of this is not just on paper and will happen	10/28/2021 1:13 PM
54	I agree with the vision overall but cannot see it being achieved until there is someone at Samuel Smiths Brewery who will not stand in the way of progress	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
55	I think you need more shops so people will go in to Tadcaster instead of having to go into York or Leeds. All pubs need to be open and music playing to bring the money in.	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
56	The current run-down state can not be allowed to continue.	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
57	The run down, tired and dilapidated state of many of the buildings renders Tadcaster as an unattractive town with no appeal.	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q2 What do you think of our 12 aims? Please indicate any that you DON'T agree with and tell us why.

Answered: 116 Skipped: 35

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	sort out eyesores	1/14/2022 7:36 PM
2	empty houses that are derelict needs dealing with and turning into homes before new build takes place	1/14/2022 7:33 PM
3	-	1/14/2022 7:30 PM
4	all appear fine except there are plenty of empty buildings which should be renovated before new build	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
5	-	1/14/2022 7:23 PM
6	-	1/14/2022 7:21 PM
7	-	1/14/2022 7:18 PM
8	good luck with humphrey smith re derelict sites	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
9	-	1/14/2022 7:10 PM
10	-	1/14/2022 7:08 PM
11	-	1/14/2022 7:06 PM
12	-	1/14/2022 7:01 PM
13	-	1/14/2022 7:00 PM
14	-	1/14/2022 6:58 PM
15	-	1/14/2022 6:54 PM
16	repair and reuse ssob properties first	1/14/2022 6:48 PM
17	-	1/14/2022 6:45 PM
18	-	1/14/2022 6:34 PM
19	-	1/14/2022 6:32 PM
20	-	1/14/2022 6:30 PM
21	...	1/14/2022 6:29 PM
22	-	1/14/2022 6:25 PM
23	disused buildings first before any new build	1/14/2022 6:22 PM
24	disused buildings first before any new build	1/14/2022 6:21 PM
25	Agree with all but can't see how they would be achieved	1/14/2022 10:56 AM
26	I would like to see empty shops and houses put into use. Don't take the car park away	1/12/2022 1:38 PM
27	Okay	1/12/2022 1:22 PM
28	agree with all	12/21/2021 6:46 PM
29	I agree with all , Tadcaster needs to develop much more as a village and attracts interest from visitors, politics, economy so it can grow	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
30	Yes	11/26/2021 8:06 PM

31	Mostly good, but I don't want Tadcaster to become a huge town with lots of new housing development and housing estates. It needs to meet the needs of current residents.	11/26/2021 4:12 PM
32	Very aspirational. No proper thought given to transport/congestion and the strain on the town, schools, medical centre, infrastructure. Tadcaster doesn't need new housing developments, new housing developments are never in keeping with the "historical and architectural quality" and they would put a huge strain on the infrastructure and resources which are needed by existing residents.	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
33	You have not given sufficient thought to the transport strain or services available off the increased number of residents nor the impact on the historical setting of the town. New builds are not appropriate or needed outside the existing town boundary.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
34	I like all of the aims and think the vision for Tadcaster is excellent. I don't disagree with any but just wonder how achievable they are and if everyone who has a say is on board with your vision, in particular, the owner of the Brewery.	11/26/2021 1:39 PM
35	1. Yes by identifying buildings in need of repair and renovating them with or without the owners permission. 2. It may be essential to design buildings such that they address issues of global heating - low carbon input, solar panels on the roof, extensive insulation, and so forth. These features need to be applied to existing buildings. 3. Who judges these criteria ? 4. Apart from the river footpaths where is the existing green space network? Many of the existing important routes lie outside the Tadcaster boundary and not covered by this plan. 5. Agree 6. There are major gaps in existing provision, especially in West Tadcaster. 7. Disagree, new homes need to address the needs of people from outside the town. The population needs to grow to increase the use of shops and businesses in the town. 8. Agree. It will become increasingly important that people can live close to their place of work. 9. Agree with improving the tourism offer, but planning needs to balance the number of properties used for self-catering accommodation (e.g. AirBnB) and the local requirement for housing. 10. Agree. 11. See aim 1. 12. Agree.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
36	I broadly agree with the 12 aims.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
37	too broad a question. Need to address each aim separately	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
38	The tourism offer should also make more use of towns closeness to last bloody battle in the UK at Towton.	11/25/2021 4:14 PM
39	They are broadly holistic and realistic yet make no explicit inclusion of digitising Tadcaster to make homes and business as connected as nearby York, with high-speed fibre and 5G connectivity making moving into both residential and commercial properties more accessible and attractive.	11/25/2021 11:22 AM
40	Agree with them	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
41	I agree with all 12 aims	11/24/2021 8:27 PM
42	All good	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
43	They are good.	11/22/2021 9:51 PM
44	Great plans	11/21/2021 6:43 PM
45	Good aims but will be hard to achieve	11/21/2021 4:03 PM
46	Good. More affordable houses and to see disused houses/ shops is a positive step forward. To have a green approach is equally positive.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
47	There is no point in trying to attract visitors to the town if you are going to build on the central/main car park. The idea of building houses on the central car park in Tadcaster is the stupidest I've ever heard.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM
48	All the aims are surely laudable - the one that concerns me slightly is "By ensuring that all new development is in keeping with existing historical and architectural quality". I prefer older houses, to new, but I do not care for new houses that are merely carbon copies of period houses. They aim to look like the older ones, but of course they are far from it, New, interesting design can sit next to older houses and a good example of this in Tadcaster is the mixing of the modern with the historical in the swimming pool development. I live in and	11/19/2021 9:34 PM

Edwardian terrace and the only houses extant when ours was built were Georgian - the Edwardians did not copy the Georgian design, they "moved things on".

49	Strongly agree with all of them, especially growing local employment opportunities.	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
50	Agree with them	11/19/2021 11:51 AM
51	Disagree with: 1. Your claim that we need better town centre traffic routing and flow: the only time this is an issue is when the A64 is closed causing all traffic to re-route through Tadcaster town centre. The issue with accidents on the A64 should be dealt with by Highways England and then Tadcaster wouldn't regularly see queues of vehicles backed up through from one end of the town to the other. 2. Your proposals for a cafe culture/street scene....please be careful when considering this idea. You will simply be encouraging noise pollution, more waste. it would be nice to have an improvement in the type of shops on the high street e.g. bakery/fishmonger etc but I certainly don't want to see cafe bars there are enough pubs and eateries in Tadcaster already. Certainly it would be a preference to see local producers being able to sell there goods but please NO MORE Costa's. 3. Definitely NO to a hotel anywhere in Tadcaster. I can see that SDC may be interested in doing this as then they can use it as an overflow for social housing. 4. No to proposal to add slip road to Leeds A4 at London Road. No to a sports Hub at Tad Magnets/London Road because of increase traffic congestion & noise pollution and air pollution it will also increase waste production if you have cafe/bars facilities.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
52	Agree	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
53	if you mean page 8 - they are mostly good ideas; not at all sure about the method of identifying sites for new housing or the concept of the 'right' type of housing. Why do we have to wait for the regeneration of derelict and vacnt sites - why can't that be done now?	11/15/2021 6:50 PM
54	Would broadly agree in principle but find them ambiguous & subjective	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
55	The aims are good. I hope that the Samuel Smiths stranglehold on the property in Tadcaster will not frustrate progress.	11/13/2021 9:10 PM
56	In GNE8: Flood Prevention it says "Policy will fill gaps.....if considered necessary". I don't think it's necessary I can whole heartly say this is a problem that needs to be solves with both willingness and cooperation between towns.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
57	Happy with the 12 aims, not happy with the means of achieving them.	11/11/2021 1:10 PM
58	Yes they seem good	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
59	In general the plans are a good starting point, but removing the parking in the town centre and pedestrianising roads will discourage people from visiting -highlighted by the the observed increase in usage of the town centre car park after barriers were installed at magnets car park. With regards to housing, there is a significant number of unoccupied and derelict properties that should be the first priority	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
60	What is being done with the major local landowner who owns most of the town centre properties that are empty?	11/7/2021 12:16 PM
61	The aims are ok but again lack focus on young adults that would make the town vibrant	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
62	AGREE WITH ALL	11/6/2021 12:38 PM
63	I don't agree with all of the proposed housing developments as I believe the proposed Mill Lane development will cause a huge amount of congestion in that part of the town. There would only be the one access point in and out of this development and combined with the existing Sainsbury's traffic will make it an extremely busy access road.	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
64	I agree with and support the aims.	11/5/2021 11:48 AM
65	Broadly agree with the aims	11/4/2021 7:44 PM
66	All of the aims are good and will benefit and improve the community	11/4/2021 5:05 PM
67	How are proposals for better routes for public rights of way users to be achieved ? The public's support for a ROW across a well established pedestrian route across the former Barnardo's site on Wighill Lane was defeated by the landowner in 2015 following two public inquiries.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
68	OK	11/4/2021 2:30 PM

69	I don't agree with any of them, these are not practical solutions, most people i believe would like to see an accountable dedicated police presence, development to the shops i.e lower rents, enforcement action on property owners who fail to rent shops, cafe and restaurant facilities. CCTV better flood defences for the town. Introduce parking charges for over 2 hour stays as people are parking in the car parks reducing visitors parking. they use car parks for working where car parking is not available or parking and getting the bus to wherever not bringing anything into the town economy	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
70	Good aims.	11/3/2021 8:58 PM
71	I agree with every single one. The idea of a fully functioning high street, that is accessible and places for families - excellent	11/3/2021 8:54 PM
72	Great if it comes off	11/3/2021 10:08 AM
73	Appropriate to Tadcaster	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
74	Seem to cover what is needed. Maybe include support other agencies who are working to help better the town.	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
75	The 12 aims are probably not in order of priority, but I would move the 11th aim to position 3 or 4.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
76	Good, cover all aspects of social, economic & environmental needs of the population	11/1/2021 5:46 PM
77	Anything to improve the area is a plus	11/1/2021 2:46 PM
78	All needed. Regeneration of buildings should be priority.	11/1/2021 1:54 PM
79	I don't want Tadcaster to sprawl outwards with large-scale new housing on greenfield sites	11/1/2021 11:54 AM
80	Building of homes to meet the needs of local people, this needs to be carefully considered so there is a balance of homes to attrac both the higher end homes and homes for first time byers.	11/1/2021 10:08 AM
81	What are the priorities and what will come first? New homes - will this include bungalow builds? If more homes are on the cards, we need the infrastructure to be in place to fulfil the increase in population. Derelict or vacant sites - does this include Humphrey Smiths derelict and vacant properties?	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
82	Agree with aims would like to know more about how these will be achieved	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
83	I like them.	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
84	I will be thrilled to see the run down centre revitalised and empty properties brought back to create new homes.	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
85	I disagree with point 2. Whilst I absolutely agree that there needs to be clear and well defined standards of build and redevelopment and that this should be contained within an advisory framework open to prospective redevelopers, I also think we should consider our ability to transform our town centre whilst adhering to historical architectural design. Climate change is impacting the way we build homes of the future and we shouldn't let design of the past impact our ability to build for the future. This is particularly relevant of the dilapidated buildings in the town centre. Consider investing in local architects to co plan redevelopment with home owners or developers to offer sustainable, green housing that still preserves the spirit of the town. I'm also not sure about developing local employment opportunities. Where this is directed towards tourism I am very supportive as Tadcaster has a rich history that would be interesting to visitors akin to Ripon or Boston Spa and we should gear future employment opportunities to the service sector rather than industry which will become less significant in a decade (furtherance of AI automating manual low skilled jobs).	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
86	Let's be honest here all you want to do is build houses. its plain as day. New houses are NOT what Tadcaster needs. What it needs is rennovation of existing buildings. I 100% agree with Protecting greenspace and Wildlife. If you want to support the growth of employment, talk to the breweries, they are the ones who can support that the most. By all means improve toursim but please make sure Tadcaster does not become an overcrowded cesspit like other nearby towns (Boston Spa, Wetherby). Tadcaster is not a town made for tourism, its a place where we live, and we live in peace, what you want to do is disrupt that haven of peace. The only way you can place a "Green, Environmentally-concious ethos" is by talking to the breweries. do not blame the resiedents for this when you know full well what those breweries are doing and so do	10/30/2021 9:10 PM

we! Again, new houses is NOT what Tadcaster needs or wants. As for "Transport and Infrastructure" Tadcaster's bus routes are fine and the tadcaster interchange/bus station is fine also, its location is perfect, dropping people off in the center without causing disruption to a main road. there is no real need to create a new link to the A64 as there is already links in both directions though i will say the link towards Leeds is a bit lengthy, if you wanted to fix that just add a slip road at Cock Bridge as there is no way to join the A64 towards Leeds from there so you have to go down the a659 instead. Joining the A64 to york could not be easier and just doesn't need changing at all.


87	Number 7. I don't think any new building should take place until all the derelict buildings empty in the town are brought back into use.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
88	Not bad	10/30/2021 3:48 PM
89	I don't agree with building more homes, There's no need to make Tadcaster a busy area like towns and cities. It's nice being quiet and out the way of the rushed places	10/30/2021 3:14 PM
90	They are great	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
91	We like them. Especially the idea of more parks as we think this is something the area is lacking at the moment.	10/30/2021 2:02 PM
92	All good.	10/30/2021 1:20 PM
93	Good	10/30/2021 12:05 PM
94	Ambitious but the potential is there	10/30/2021 10:36 AM
95	Great	10/30/2021 9:51 AM
96	Visionary and sets the right tone for the town.	10/30/2021 9:40 AM
97	Agree	10/30/2021 9:05 AM
98	Why do buildings need to be 'in-keeping' it is such a wooly term and leads to the sort of bland stone and pantile pastiche and stifles architectural innovation. Why not ask for new development to be of a high-quality architectural design while respecting the historic fabric and scale of the settlement.	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
99	Aims for housing development and extended green space are at odds. Tadcaster benefits from green space to all sides. New housing development would seriously compromise that.	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
100	Too many	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
101	I personally just want to make sure we still have lots of green spaces	10/29/2021 9:30 AM
102	Sites for new housing	10/28/2021 9:26 PM
103	Surely the town's existing built heritage assets are already identified? The aspiration to "build homes of the right type..." requires that people from all economic background have a say and are listened to - the poorest people have the greatest need but their voices are seldom heard.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
104	I agree with them- but feel there is need to highlight Sport and sporting facilities as a way of achieving the vision	10/28/2021 7:27 PM
105	Not one aim that actually concerns itself with inward investment. Surely the council should "Incentivise investment by ... "	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
106	Very naive. Ignoring the elephant in the room and the MP in his pocket.	10/28/2021 6:22 PM
107	As above	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
108	The aims are progressive & bold, let's see if the Council is willing to take on Sam's, because they won't give in without a fight....	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
109	Fine especially creating a vibrant town centre. The promotion of independent shops in the town centre and reducing traffic on Kirkgate and Westgate	10/28/2021 3:11 PM
110	Long needed	10/28/2021 1:13 PM
111	I am totally in favour of Tadcaster development. However, there are already green areas in the town which cannot be utilised. Sam Smiths Brewery owns most of the derelict or empty	10/28/2021 12:47 PM

properties and as history shows, would not even temporarily release land for a footbridge when our bridge collapsed.

112	Agree with all	10/28/2021 8:38 AM
113	I do apart from the car parking this car park is normally full on a daily basis where are people supposed to park for the chemist etc, especially the elderly who cant walk far.	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
114	They are ambitious, necessary and valid. BUT have you allowed for the Humphrey factor?	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
115	There is no point in building new houses when there are plenty of houses in Tadcaster that need refurbishing and need to be offered first as accommodation. Too many stores are vacant and existing businesses are closing down to terms from landlords that are unacceptable.	10/27/2021 9:46 AM
116	Great	10/27/2021 9:39 AM

Q3 Do you agree with Policy Intention BE1?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	90.67% 136
No	8.00% 12
Don't know	1.33% 2
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	unused buildings made fit for use	11/14/2022 10:29 AM
2	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
3	There are some beautiful buildings in Tadcaster which are in desperate need of enhancement.	11/26/2021 1:39 PM
4	However, the existing Conservation Area Document needs review and amendment as it presents a single, biased view of development. A new conservation area needs to be established covering the Woodlands Avenue area as this represents an outstanding example of that type of development. Part of this area is in Stutton.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
5	I agree that development should respect the existing character of the town and that clear design criteria should be outlined. However, this should also be in balance with the ability to live a modern life in Tadcaster. For example: a homeowner in the centre of town (if the property has the space to do so respectfully) should be able to make reasonable adjustment to their property such as removing a wall at the front of their property to allow space for a driveway.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
6	Ignores any problems about ownership of property and cannot be enforced (or even welcomed) in adjacent Parishes	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
7	I hope that we can police this better than has previously been the case in the conservation area	11/24/2021 10:20 PM

8	Architectural and historical landscape is what makes Tadcaster. To retain this whilst developing the area is an excellent approach.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
9	I agree with this, with the proviso detailed above - let's move design forward on Tadcaster, not get stuck in a "mock past".	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
10	In principle	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
11	I agree that we need conservation but at what cost will these measures have our local investment and development, if there is too much red tape it might deter development.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
12	Get real you have very little control over the major landowner	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
13	Help regenerate but respect the history	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
14	Particularly using various vacant plots in the town centre.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
15	As long as the new builds if any, do tie in with our historic buildings.	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
16	As per my comments above. BE1 needs to support redevelopment and should go further than restrictive guidance. Policy ought to include design examples and choose particular characteristics to preserve. It also MUST put cost effective green building first and so materials of stone and sash windows etc should be less relevant than good insulation, led lighting and renewable heating.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
17	I agree with enhancing existing buildings and land but don't waste your money on new housing that will bring anger and upset to our community.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
18	It's really important to respect the distinctive character of Tadcaster.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
19	If an area's character is of sufficient heritage significance then it should be capable of inclusion in the formal conservation area. If it isn't then it shouldn't receive enhanced protection. Designation of non-statutory heritage areas is unjustified and will stifle development in the town.	10/30/2021 1:20 PM
20	Why do feel the need to set out design criteria, have you or anyone writing this experience in design or architecture to qualify you? Design is very subjective and prescribing what people can and cannot do is stifling innovation and inventive ways of responding to architectural heritage and local distinctiveness.	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
21	Last thing we need is more red tape to stop development	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
22	If all development adjacent to the Conservation Area is required to meet design criteria related to its location then this hints that the Conservation Area is intended to expand. That is a laudable aspiration, but may cause additional expense to investors and make development uneconomic.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
23	Important to preserve the town	10/28/2021 1:13 PM
24	Identifying land and buildings in need of enhancement and getting the owner's consent to do so is where the obstacles will arise.	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
25	Yes but there is also a lot of bungalows that haven't been modernised in years.	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
26	Good idea	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
27	Please put into action the fact that run down and derelict houses need refurbishing first!	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q4 Do you agree with Policy Intention BE2?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	86.67% 130
No	8.67% 13
Don't know	4.67% 7
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
2	The conservation area should be extended if necessary.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
3	We have very little architectural Heritage and we need to create one - not restrict enterprise activity	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
4	It's not clear what you are trying to say but I think I agree.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM
5	Same comment as in previous section.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
6	In principle	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
7	I think it's vital that we get people's input from the town but I also think we shouldn't slow down development too much. This might have negative affects on local economy.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
8	Haven't seen the map so cannot comment	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
9	We need visitor development / attractions	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
10	Absolutely agree.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
11	See my comments against Policy Intention BE1.	10/30/2021 1:20 PM

12	Again as my objection to BE2 what right do local councillors and stakeholders have to prescribe layout and design criteria. The result of so many neighbourhood plans prescribing design criteria is that they end up encouraging the sort of bland developer pastiche of a historic building that erodes any special historic character of the original buildings. Why not encourage architectural design and innovation where it respects the scale and order of the existing settlement and fabric.	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
13	We have a failing town and you want to increase red tape	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
14	As BE1	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
15	Makes sense	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
16	We do not need new developments of expensive housing until the existing buildings are made aesthetically pleasing attracting people to local facilities and a market.	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q5 Do you agree with Policy Intention BE3?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	91.33% 137
No	6.00% 9
Don't know	2.67% 4
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
2	Individual buildings worthy of preservation should be listed, especially the Riley Smith Hall.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
3	Market forces determine the usage of such assets, otherwise they area drain on public resources	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
4	Not really sure, I don't have an opinion on this matter	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
5	Nice buildings that need protecting	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
6	But not exclusive to the The Barn and Riley Smith Hall	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
7	Its out of your controls	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
8	It is not clear which are "designated" and "non-designated areas" What sort of "enhancement" is envisaged?	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
9	Does "support" extend to "financially investing in.."?	10/28/2021 8:56 PM

Q6 Do you agree with Policy Intention BE4?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	84.00% 126
No	9.33% 14
Don't know	6.67% 10
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
2	What is designing out of crime?	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
3	most architecture is vague and unrelated to any neighbouring property	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
4	Very important.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
5	This could have been more simply expressed.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM
6	Comment as previously about moving on and not building a "mock past".	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
7	I agree that it's nice to have a "style" for a town but also we do need to ask what will these new measures do to new development growth?	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
8	I agree the development has to fit into its surroundings but "clear layout and design criteria" initiated now may be limiting for the next 20 years.	11/10/2021 1:42 PM
9	This needs a re-think	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
10	More detail is required.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
11	I think this policy intention is confused and blurs policy intention BE1 with a crime reduction initiative which is very unclear. Re consult on whether 2 policy initiatives are required here.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM

12	Do not waste money on building new houses! There are buildings in Tadcaster that are in dire need of restoration already.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
13	As earlier points, members are straying into areas of design that they do not have the requisite skills for.	10/29/2021 7:45 PM

Q7 Do you agree with Policy Intention BE5?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	90.00% 135
No	8.67% 13
Don't know	1.33% 2
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	vacant properties on wighill lane look dreadful	1/14/2022 6:58 PM
2	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby Destrict Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
3	Street furniture should be designed to meet its purpose. Paving should provide a surface that prevents slips and falls and is suitable for wheelchairs and disability vehicles.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
4	Maintaining what historic character	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
5	Important	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
6	There seems to be a "static" view of history developing here - new design, even for street furniture, can sit very comfortably with older design - I'm not sure what's driving this "static" approach, but I think we need to preserve and also move on. The juxtaposition of the old with the new is something that, when it is done right, is most appealing.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
7	In principle	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
8	I think that these cosmetic looks are nice for a town to have, to both show people we put back into our community.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
9	Again rethink	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
10	We should enhance the historical aspect of the town.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM

11	What is appropriate forms of development? And who are the town council to dictate this?	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
12	What a waste of time this town is dying but you want to make the coffin look good	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
13	There needs to be care taken that the use of heritage development is not supported to the detriment of healthy and safe forms of infrastructure etc (e.g. paving which is unsafe for the elderly to walk on nor inaccessible for the disabled.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
14	The town has been held back & needs rejuvenation, to bring it into the 21st century..	10/28/2021 4:37 PM

Q8 Do you agree with Policy Intention BE6?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	84.67% 127
No	6.00% 9
Don't know	9.33% 14
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Policy intentions BE1 to BE6 - the wording doesn't say anything - they have no meaning. Very woolly, frothy statements.	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
2	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
3	FIRST priority create a Leeds bound and from Leeds journey on junction over bypass and London Road. The vast majority go west very little goods traffic goes east	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
4	I'm a bit unclear about this, but making the gateways more attractive is a great idea - let's have bigger "Tadcaster" signs.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
5	Very subjective	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
6	I think that it's a nice message to our communities vibe	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
7	Take care with "Enhances" this means different things to different people	11/10/2021 1:42 PM
8	The Town gateways are already quite attractive with seasonal floral displays.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
9	I do not support any green environment development	11/1/2021 11:54 AM
10	I'm not quite sure what this means! I am against building on our fields and open spaces	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
11	The means of enhancement have not been identified.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM

Q9 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE1?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	91.33% 137
No	4.00% 6
Don't know	4.67% 7
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	brownfield sites first	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
2	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby Destrict Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
3	Agree but elsewhere in this document there are proposals to build on green space and alternative green space does not exist.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
4	requirements should be decided and then facilities made to fit; not vice-versa	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
5	Regarding Blue Spaces, it would be nice to see other groups e.g. canoeists, using the river along with fisher folk. The Lower Wharfe Canoe Club, based in Tadcaster, have been prevented from using the River Wharfe for many years due to vested interest groups and land ownership issues. The Wharfe is a navigation and tidal up to the weir and we are all familiar with pictures of barges, which were a common sight historically. Progress was made towards this end 10 years ago, with the involvement of the canoe club, Environment Agency, British Canoe Union and Tadcaster Anglers, but progress was prevented by actions from SSOB, which was a real shame. There is also the potential for the "swimming" facility of the river to be more widely used, particularly as water quality improves.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
6	Or if this includes development of a riverside park	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
7	I think it's vital we keep ensuring these places are kept safe both from humans activity and natural processes.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM

8	Problems regarding refuse and collection and recycling also should include dog fouling of pavements and footpaths. A larger NYCC Collection and recycling facility to replace that on the industrial site should be sought - similar to the Leeds CC site at Thorp Arch, which Tadcaster residents are not allowed to use.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
9	Totally	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
10	A lot of policy intention appears very vague	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
11	Again I'm not quite sure what is meant here.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
12	The means of enhancement have not been identified.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
13	The open spaces around the town have a lot of leeway for improvement, but should be done in a modern & bold way.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
14	Very good idea	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
15	This does actually specify anything	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q10 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE2?

Answered: 149 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	93.29% 139
No	4.03% 6
Don't know	2.68% 4
TOTAL	149

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	retain butchers field as play area	1/14/2022 7:06 PM
2	play areas need upgrading and made safe	1/14/2022 6:58 PM
3	plus the riverside	1/14/2022 6:45 PM
4	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
5	West Tadcaster lacks an informal area for older children to play, for example ball games. This might be provided within the Stutton parish.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	what facilities have been deemed necessary for the town centre	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
7	Also the Quaker Burial Ground needs protecting as it has been under threat of being built on in the past despite being of historical significance.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
8	I think it's vital to draw a delicate balance between conservation and development. When it comes to green space we need to include both the people who want it and the people who don't to ensure we find the optimal solution.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
9	another park or updates to existing parks is really important	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
10	Play areas need immediate attention. Many not fit for purpose	11/1/2021 8:15 PM

11	Again, we need the map.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
12	More could be done with the Parklands play area. At the moment it is mainly a dog walking area not a play area!!	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
13	Yes, in addition these areas should be enhanced. It is important that if Tadcaster is to become a thriving hub for tourism and local people alike then we should be looking for best in class parkland with more trees, defined paths, improved and more inspiring play equipment and better lighting. We should look to Rowntrees Park and the value of community membership to encourage sustainable engagement with these green spaces.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
14	Currently the "Play areas" that exist already are actually used by youths who go there to drink alcohol.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
15	Really looking forward to this development	10/30/2021 2:02 PM
16	Big improvements needed on all 3 areas. Feel dirty at the minute	10/30/2021 10:36 AM
17	"Local Green Space" seems to have been limited to children's play areas - surely there are other facilities which should be considered, too?	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
18	Absolutely	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
19	The Quaker area where the Burgiel grind needs developing as a historic site and made into a green space with planting and flowers and benches for relaxation	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q11 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE3?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	92.67%	139
No	3.33%	5
Don't know	4.00%	6
TOTAL		150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
2	Consider places for planting trees in woods accessible by the public.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
3	I think that the children's play areas in particular would highly benefit from some funding/ refurbishment. If one of the aims is to attract more young people/ couples/ families to Tadcaster, I really believe this to be very important. I personally live near the park on Woodlands Avenue and whilst it is certainly better than nothing, it is a little bit sad.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
4	Sounds good but who will decide "value to the community"	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
5	Tadcaster Community Sports Trust supports the development of recreation and sporting facilities on the London Road site as an ideal location for provision of outdoor sports and leisure/play facilities. The development of this site for these purposes would most certainly enhance its value to the local community.	11/24/2021 9:34 AM
6	Not if this includes development on the riverside area	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
7	You used to be able to see the weir from the bridge - would clearance of the Sainsbury's side be acceptable from an environmental perspective.	11/13/2021 9:10 PM
8	While I agree we need green spaces the big question comes down the both where and how will it affect the towns development.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM

9	Especially the river bank areas	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
10	Green spaces are very important.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
11	Scout hut	10/30/2021 3:48 PM
12	It is not clear how this differs from GNE2	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
13	Policy will support enhancement but SS Brewery is unlikely to.	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
14	Great!	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
15	See above	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q12 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE4?

Answered: 149 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	84.56%	126
No	8.72%	13
Don't know	6.71%	10
TOTAL		149

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	how many times has a skatepark been planned?	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
2	skatepark a great idea as long as it is well maintained	1/14/2022 7:21 PM
3	one sports facility at magnets could provide the answer	1/14/2022 6:45 PM
4	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
5	West Tadcaster lacks an informal area for older children to play, for example ball games. This might be provided within the Stutton parish.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	I think this is a very good idea. I particularly appreciate that some thought seems to be going into catering for children and young people of a wider range of ages than I feel we currently do as a town.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
7	and other services	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
8	Will be interested to understand where the skate park would go	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
9	As long as they are maintained and not become a point of antisocial behaviour.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
10	Proper playing fields are a huge absence from Tadcaster for children, I think this should be a top priority	11/19/2021 12:24 PM

11	Totally dependent on location I thought there was already a skate park at The Barn?	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
12	Skate park would be great for local youth	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
13	Playing fields: yes, but why revert back to a skate park? Seems like pigeonholing all children like do do is skate? There's a million and one other sports and activities that could be supported	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
14	Need to also consider places for older children (teenagers) to meet and socialise	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
15	We need more green spaces/parks for the children to play in we lack this as a town so much.	11/1/2021 2:46 PM
16	Building open space or a skate park is less important than properly redesigning existing spaces so that they better serve the community. Additional spaces that are barely used would be a waste of money and a detrimental economic impact. I am highly supportive of the idea in principle but it cannot be a showpiece for the council that neglects other green areas.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
17	This is absurd.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
18	Young people are under represented in the structure of Tadcaster; pleased that this is being considered.	10/30/2021 9:40 AM
19	Vitally important to kick start the high street and create a vibrant heart to the town	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
20	Whilst there are many playing fields in the parish some may not be open to the general public namely Riverside school , Tadcaster Grammar, Magnets, Old Police club , Ings . Greater access to such areas may be beneficial. Is a skate Park required?	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
21	One specific area of Green Space (or perhaps Community Facility) is the provision of a Town Cemetery. I have a significant concern that the existing provision on Leeds Road/Dorchester Road is nearing capacity (as confirmed to me by one of the town's Funeral Directors). The identification, purchase and protection of a future space for use by the people of the town must be prioritised to prevent future generations from having to travel outside of Tadcaster to visit the place of repose of their loved ones.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
22	These aims are vital for somewhere the youth can gather..	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
23	So long as this does not make an area for bad behaviour etc	10/28/2021 1:13 PM
24	As per GNE3	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
25	Depends on the image of the skate park	10/28/2021 8:38 AM
26	Playing fields and parks for the kids don't think we need a skate park.	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
27	Another good idea	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
28	As long as it is well lit to discourage gatherings of youth and drug taking	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q13 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE5?

Answered: 149 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	81.88% 122
No	5.37% 8
Don't know	12.75% 19
TOTAL	149

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Protecting the biodiversity and conserving nature rather than new development	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
2	The idea of a skate park has been haggging around for years - seriously, who writes this stuff!	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
3	This is outside the remit?	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
4	no extra constraints Use the existing procedures to prove the requirement	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
5	Clear information of what improving biodiversity actually entails needs to be detailed as I'm not convinced that the vast majority of people actually understand the actions required or the benefits.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
6	Not much detail is given in this question, but the answer has to be a "yes", of course.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
7	Ambiguous & subjective	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
8	I don't think this is neither a political and economical thing, biodiversity is well vital to both the sustainability of the towns ecosystems but also our future.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
9	I'd like more information on this intention	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
10	Not sure what is intended in this statement	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
11	In the admittedly infrequent hot summer days, many young people enjoy paddling and swimming in the river.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
12	Tadcaster would be enhanced by a nature reserve close to the town i.e. trees, wild flower area and area for people to sit/picnic etc . We need to make the town more inviting.	11/1/2021 1:54 PM

13	This is ill defined and adds little value. It needs to be more specific to properly consult.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
14	More areas set aside with wildflowers and meadow planting	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q14 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE6?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	96.67% 145
No	2.00% 3
Don't know	1.33% 2
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	especially local quarries to be used as landfill	11/14/2022 6:58 PM
2	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
3	This is outside the remit ?	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
4	Planning should already do this	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
5	We are also dependent on what is happening upstream - there have been particular issues with the release of sewage in times of heavy rainfall, and particularly so at Ilkley. These issues seem to be being dealt with on a national basis.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
6	After seeing what other places have done, dumping waste in water, I think it's important we respect both people who want to enjoy the waters as well as the life living in the water by keeping it clean and safe as we can.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
7	The town is where it is due to the rivers and aquifers The brewing industry is heavily reliant on the latter.	11/1/2021 8:15 PM

Q15 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE7?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	91.33% 137
No	6.67% 10
Don't know	2.00% 3
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	stop hgvs	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
2	More E Vehicle charging points required asap.	1/14/2022 10:14 AM
3	Keep emissions low by not constructing huge developments and increasing the population, cars in the town	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
4	Air quality and noise and light pollution need improving not mitigating	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
5	I would agree if you added in existing developments, minimising traffic movement and traffic calming measures.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	I don't quite understand what this will look like but I believe the intention behind the proposal to be good.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
7	Throughout SDC area air quality has improved considerably in the last 10-20 years as evidenced by the ONS. Leave it to the expert to decided planning and development issues.	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
8	The biggest impact here , I am sure , would be actions to divert the beer lorries away from the main town thoroughfares - which requires the opening of an A64 access towards Leeds .	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
9	As long it is affordable for anyone impacted in that area.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
10	I think the best way we can improve Air quality as a town is both add vegetation such as Trees/ bushes around roads, as well as replace roads with paths only or try to encourage people to use bikes or other transport say buses to leave and enter town.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
11	Areas should be set aside for tree planting, with the local community encouraged to collect and grow tree seeds. ie Acorns, Beech nuts etc.	11/3/2021 10:08 AM

12	This may be influenced by national policy, which I would support	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
13	We should consider hydrogen and electric propulsion for local buses and heavy plant including brewery traffic. JCB has today announced an investment into hydrogen propulsion for heavy machinery and we should immediately support this for future development. It will show Tadcaster as a local leader in green and renewable construction.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
14	This "issue" is down to the breweries, talk to them about it as it is NOT the fault of the residents in which you are aiming to blame here!	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
15	The local air quality must be adversely affected by the ever present A64. Measures could be taken to mitigate CO2 levels through the creation of woodland in otherwise unusable areas i.e. floodplain at the rear of Heineken Brewery. Development in the area should not be at a "major level"	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
16	Green policies are the future & have to be part of any regeneration initiative.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
17	More tree planting and conservation of trees at private properties	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q16 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE8?

Answered: 149 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	89.26%	133
No	5.37%	8
Don't know	5.37%	8
TOTAL		149

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	who will fund the micro hydro scheme, who will upkeep, who will benefit?	1/14/2022 6:29 PM
2	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby Destrict Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
3	Is this outside the remit?	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
4	This is already underway and should take account of the local needs. If not, a procedure is already in place to ensure the best solutions	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
5	Flood prevention measures and funding for these should come from central government as part of a unified plan, using local funding puts Tadcaster at a disadvantage compared to other towns in the area without flooding issues	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
6	In principle	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
7	"Policy will fill gaps.....if considered necessary" - I don't think this matter is "considered necessary" but rather a matter that we "have" to solve and "now". Not only have we seen the river time and time again breach is banks we have also seen it's major impacts on our economy. If say this winter after covid already destroyed local business a flood were to occur we could see the worst loss in local business we've seen ever. It's important we don't sort the river our in the short term but we find long term solutions that will work with both models of increased surface runoff as well as increased rainfall. "better safe than sorry"	11/12/2021 7:04 AM

8	Again, this statement says nothing to the point. All flood prevention and defense for any town that has a river running through is surely a priority	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
9	Work with the relevant authorities to improve flood prevention. But also ensure new developments do not increase risk.	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
10	Obviously!	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
11	Reintroduction of river dredging please?	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
12	To vague	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
13	Flood prevention is something which needs addressing, it also needs to be sympathetic to the natural beauty of our riverbank.	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
14	It is not well defined as a proposal.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
15	Flood prevention is very important. However the river is an asset and the public should be able to enjoy it.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
16	The nervousness of local business in the high street to invest is clear ; any thoughts of expanding the business area in and around the high st will need significant development of flood prevention to instill confidence in the business community. In short no repeat of the floods some years ago.	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
17	The town centre deserves & needs adequate flood defences, to protect the Councils development plans.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
18	One of the biggest threats to Tadcaster is a repeat of the 2015 flooding. Many of the businesses there will not be able to recover, no-one would be prepared to take on the empty buildings and the town would suffer terribly. Precious little has been done in terms of effective flood defence and if it happens again, the consequences could be dire.	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q17 Do you agree with Policy Intention GNE9?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	86.00% 129
No	8.00% 12
Don't know	6.00% 9
TOTAL	150


#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	cost versus value and productivity	1/14/2022 7:23 PM
2	too expensive and contradicts BE4	1/14/2022 6:58 PM
3	Government grants to improve the energy efficiency of the houses would be very helpful	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
4	What about existing buildings? This should be the priority not new builds which are not needed anyway. This is just about Selby meeting Government targets for new houses, does nothing for Tadcaster residents other than to potentially depreciate their already cheaper, than local, house values. Selby has all the facilities if Selby Council want more houses let them build them in Selby.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
5	Policy should support this integration in existing buildings as well.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	This is 2 questions! The itemised actions are still mainly unproven and expensive to install. Again the "Local Plan" already exists so there should be no need to 'fill gaps'	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
7	There's plenty of scope for a hydro scheme at the weir that could be developed into an attractive amenity e.g. Modern working mill with artisan bakery and café overlooking the river, viaduct, church, bridge and grassland flood plain in the disused mill building.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
8	I am particularly interested in the potential HEP plant at the weir and explored this idea myself in 2010 with other interested parties.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
9	Strongly agree with this policy intention	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
10	Not sure what a micro-hydro scheme at the weir would entail	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
11	The problem is ground heat isn't really a solution here, while in countries such as Iceland it's	11/12/2021 7:04 AM

great our Green Power Solution should come from Hydro. I think if were to make a long-term plan to increase both water capacity in the river via adding offloading lakes as well as adding Hydro gen gates we could solve two problems at once.

12	Is the cost of power generation on the river going to be effective given how often the river flow is negligible in summer and flooded in winter, with both extremes likely to become more extensive according to some climate scientists?	11/10/2021 1:42 PM
13	An excellent idea - I hope it actually happens	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
14	Will a micro-hydro scheme at the River Wharfe weir be publicly or privately owned, operated and maintained; and who will derive the benefits?	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
15	Excellent and important policy intention.	11/3/2021 8:58 PM
16	This weir has been looked at before. It should be pursued and completed this time, to benefit the town.	11/3/2021 10:08 AM
17	Future proofing	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
18	I already have solar panels and would encourage any new build to include their installation.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
19	This is a very positive proposal and should receive wide ranging support but we must educate and inform local people as to the value of locally generated renewable power.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
20	These proposed plans could potentially be hazardous to wildlife in which you apparently aim to "conserve" some measures have been proven to be a hazard to both airborne and aquatic life.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
21	Would need to know more about this.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
22	I would only support the installation of a micro-hydro scheme if it does not detract and undermine the other policies e.g. GNE1, BE5 and there is a positive business case in terms of use of the energy. Otherwisle the investment should be made in the develoment of Tadcaster	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
23	The micro-hydro plan's for the Wharfe sound very interesting & a sustainable green idea.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
24	Great	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
25	New developments should have the use of grey water and tanks as well as solar power mandatory requirement.	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q18 Do you agree with Policy Intention TC1

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	92.00% 138
No	6.00% 9
Don't know	2.00% 3
TOTAL	150


#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	This is desperately overdue	1/14/2022 10:56 AM
2	Definitely. These properties are a disgrace.	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
3	Definitely overdue	12/21/2021 6:46 PM
4	This policy would boost the development and economy of Tadcaster. It is a pity that there are so many empty houses , they could be rented out, sold or offered to the Council Housing. If the lobby didn't have so much power!	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
5	Given the reduction in 'High Street' retail shopping in some towns, change of use might be appropriate for some buildings.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	Strongly agree!	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
7	laudable proposal buy maybe one in the sky if local landowner is not supportive! In town centre ground floor needs to be kept for retail not housing.	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
8	Very much so. To see Tadcaster have so many empty, abandoned or derelict buildings so publicly visible is not an attraction for visitors or new investment and business.	11/25/2021 11:22 AM
9	Persuade the main landlord to reduce his rents , and also stop the apparent practice of removing toilets to render empty properties "uninhabitable"	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
10	I would like to see to town centre to support a lot of businesses with some upper floors being devoted to small scale businesses instead of residential accommodation.	11/24/2021 8:27 PM
11	However I have reservations about ground floor residential development in the town centre as I think this should be available for commerce.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM

12	This needs urgent action but will be difficult as need landlord's cooperation	11/21/2021 4:03 PM
13	It is really sad to see empty properties. Business should be encouraged and there are many people who would love to be on the property ladder,	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
14	I agree with this, but I thought legislation is in force to make landlords restore and let out properties.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
15	I believe that the empty properties in Tadcaster are in the main down to one or two individuals who have purchased them with the intent of leaving them vacant. I don't mind that...if you've got that money to spend. I do however feel that the owner/s of vacant buildings (if their identity if known) should be responsible for ensuring they do not become an eyesore.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
16	The town centre is laughable at the moment. If my family visit im embarassed to take them into town due to the amount of vancant buildings. This combined with a charity shop looking like a jumble sale with products up and down the street makes Tadcaster town centre look more like a town centre on its last legs. Due to The SMiths owning most of the buildings and dictating what types of shops can be opened it makes if difficult for new businesses to get a foot hold. I appleid for a pet shop in 2 of The smiths shops and was advised this isnt the type of business the owner wants in these premissis.	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
17	Hope that Samuel Smiths can be made to either bring their property into use or to relinquish ownership and allow others bring them into use.	11/13/2021 9:10 PM
18	This is a problem that well frankly annoys be a lot, the fact there is tons of land/ buildings that could be used for business or housing but isn't either cause of Red Tape or because of laziness or maybe cause of fear of loss due to past events such as flooding. I think it's important we get back investors via solving both flooding as well as removing red tape to ensure we can get business move in and new development to replace those abandoned buildings.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
19	Very essential	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
20	This is badly needed there are far to many empty properties that could be used as housing or shops with flats above and all derelict properties should be pulled down and modern council houses built that people could afford to rent. Much of the town is an eyesore	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
21	Think this should be a priority before considering building additional new houses as there are a large amount of uninhabited/ run down/ derelict buildings in the town. Not only do they make the town look completely run down, it doesn't make sense to build new houses when there are existing buildings in the town which could be used, if they were made 'good' again.	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
22	This is a pre-eminent aspiration which would transform the town at a stroke. The number of derelict and out of use properties are an eyesore and blight on the town. Many of these properties have been derelict for most of the average residents memory and must be restored to either business or housing use.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
23	Essential for the low carbon invigoration of the town. Live, work and shop local.	11/3/2021 8:58 PM
24	Good luck on that. We are back to the Smith family again!	11/3/2021 10:08 AM
25	Absolutely essential. Maximum priority	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
26	This is essential	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
27	I consider this to be the highest priority. Not only do we need more homes, but the numerous unoccupied buildings are unsightly and unwelcoming to the town.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
28	I believe this is key to the regeneration strategy	11/1/2021 5:46 PM
29	Needs to happen now. Tadcaster has already had this issue for years.	11/1/2021 1:54 PM
30	This depends on whether the cost of bringing these properties back into productive use is met by the property owner i.e. Humphrey Smith (who should be doing this already)	11/1/2021 11:54 AM
31	Sites for shops need to be refilled with shops and businesses rather than residential development otherwise the town will have no centre. Tadcaster is already lacking a centre/buzz of activity and that is what needs bringing back to life.	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
32	Very unsure how this will be achieved due to current ownership of some properties	10/31/2021 5:19 PM

33	It is hoped that the Brewery and SDC will work together on this. This development plan is similar in some areas to the Vision of Tadcaster which was proposed by the Brewery approx 40 years ago.....	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
34	This is particularly important to me and will surely bring more visitors to Tadcaster as well as improving the amount of homes available.	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
35	Yes! This is fundamental to any transformation efforts. I question why this hasn't happened a decade ago. My concern is that there is other pressure which prevents redevelopment which MUST be tackled head on in order to avoid this town falling into ruin.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
36	Absolutely agree! We have some lovely old properties just going to rack and ruin. These buildings should be renovated and brought back into use. As well as providing homes it would really improve the look of the town.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
37	This is a must	10/30/2021 3:48 PM
38	I don't think we need housing we need shops cafes and restaurants	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
39	This is going to be crucial and one of the more important parts of the new policies, we feel. Having moved into the area in the last few months, this was one of our main concerns but we are extremely excited and positive about the future of this beautiful town.	10/30/2021 2:02 PM
40	A list of unused and available properties should be sent out for potential investors	10/30/2021 10:36 AM
41	Vitally important to rejuvenate the high street	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
42	Whilst fully supporting bringing empty town centre properties into use, the aim should be to move these into much needed local business concerns; ground floors should be used exclusively for business and shop use, whilst residential should be confined to upper floors only.	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
43	We need shops not flats	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
44	This would be fantastic and would make the biggest difference to Tadcaster in my opinion.	10/29/2021 9:30 AM
45	This needs to be done first. So many unoccupied residential properties.	10/28/2021 9:26 PM
46	This needs to be a top priority. Owners of empty property should be stripped of ownership of property which is left uninhabitable for extended periods.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
47	This has got to be THE HIGHEST PRIORITY. There are a ridiculous number of vacant properties. This should include re-development into housing.	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
48	However if rumours are correct the empty properties should be brought back into use FIRST before any new properties are built. Builders/developers tell lies sometimes!!	10/28/2021 6:22 PM
49	Please make Humphrey bring his properties u to date without submitting to his blackmail over the central car park development	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
50	Good luck with this plan, unless you get compulsory purchase order's for the Sam's building's, I really can't see it getting off the ground....	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
51	Mixed use of buildings with housing in previous retail would provide a blended environment.	10/28/2021 3:11 PM
52	Very important	10/28/2021 1:13 PM
53	Persuade the brewery or forget it.	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
54	Although as mentioned earlier some of the council bungalows in Tadcaster have never been modernised.	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
55	So pleased to hear this. I've lived here 20 years and am sick of seeing so many empty, neglected and run-down buildings. Successive governments insist on the need to build new housing when we already have so many buildings which could be used in a productive manner.	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
56	All run down properties restored.	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q19 Do you agree with Policy Intention TC2?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	92.67% 139
No	4.00% 6
Don't know	3.33% 5
TOTAL	150


#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	but disabled access is not mentioned	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
2	It would be great to have more festivals that attracts visitors and enhances the neighbourhood network	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
3	Some wall murals would be a good idea.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
4	Needs to go further than just Arts and Culture - needs major visitor attractions and direct action to develop the new plans	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
5	Including an Arts Centre	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
6	"culture and the arts in Tadcaster" - it's a great idea to encourage these areas.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
7	We have a huge history which is only documented on a few notice boards around the town. Brewery tours, a brewery shop (Beer Shop) would draw visitors in too.	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
8	I agree, we have vital transport links such as the Coastliner that takes people from Leeds to Scarborough, we can use this to setup a visitor commercial zone around the Bus station to help boost business and local money.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
9	An arts festival would be very good - not every year, perhaps a 3 year cycle.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
10	Culture and the "arts" usually means "fluffy" expensive functionless installations and a waste of money	11/1/2021 11:54 AM
11	Interested in hearing more on this	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
12	Our town has so much history, yet what do we offer visitors to our town? A town trail board, not	10/31/2021 3:46 PM

enough to bring visitors to our town.

13	We are a lovely quiet haven where we live in peace, we do not want distruption to this.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
14	There are some fantastic empty properties on the high street that would make excellent studios for artists. Cultural quarter around Riley Smith - The ARK	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
15	Surely there are other things we need rather than disappointed tourists	10/29/2021 1:35 PM

Q20 Do you agree with Policy Intention TC3?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	80.67% 121
No	3.33% 5
Don't know	5.33% 8
Comments	10.67% 16
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Provision of public toilets urgently needed	11/21/2021 4:03 PM
2	I am very keen that as many people as possible cycle or walk for short journeys in the town. I launched an initiative regarding this with the Town Council in 2010. Some progress was made, particularly with the primary schools around the "school run" issue. I don't believe the initiative has been retained, but the benefits to individuals, the environment, the town are manifold and I would like to see things move in this direction in future. I would be prepared to help with such a move. The motor car must cease to be the dominant feature of our town centres - this ties in with pedestrianisation and limiting car parking spaces. People must learn that the use of the car to drive and park as near to their destination as possible is a privilege and not a right, and may need to change.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
3	Cafes and restaurants need to be sited appropriately to prevent them competing for business and then closing. Many town centres are overrun with chain coffee shops/casual restaurants/food takeaways which detracts from the town. Tadcaster should focus on specialising and attracting people from further afield (York, Wetherby, Sherburn etc.) to a few outstanding locations instead of trying to turn every vacant shop into a cafe.	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
4	I do not think Tadcaster needs any new restaurants/cafe bars/public houses. I do think a cycle park with additional security would be a good thing - currently I do not see anywhere safe to	11/16/2021 2:00 PM

	lock up bikes other than a Sainsburys.	
5	The town centre should be an area where you can spend a few hours passing by the day on a weekend so i support this policy. there simply isnt enough to hold any one in the centre of town for longer than an hour at present	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
6	This category covers too many elements to know if I would be in support Again very subjective	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
7	The central car park could be re-developed for more shops	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
8	Definitely need improved restaurant / cafe facilities and cycling provision and better use of the river bank area.	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
9	The list should include shopping and retail facilities.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
10	Town needs to be more attractive. The shops that are open are maintained and fit the character of town but we need more choice of shops, i.e. artisan bakery, groceries. Wetherby is a good example of types of business that Tadcaster is lacking. High street lacks vibrancy and life.	11/1/2021 1:54 PM
11	All for eateries but need a good mix of shops and not just eateries. Need to attract people to tadcaster and eateries just won't be enough. Please take a steer from Wetherby town centre which has a good mix of shops and cafes	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
12	Should include an intention to improve sporting facilities	10/28/2021 7:27 PM
13	Great plan's, as long as you can clear the Sam Smiths doctrine.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
14	Expansion of the riverside amenity through additional seating and possible cafe seating. Information boards alongside river to show history opposite such as Mill Lane and castle area.	10/28/2021 3:11 PM
15	Very important to support local businesses. For example the excellent work by Stutton Road shopkeepers and food outlets, particularly the outside decking installed by Roast Cafe	10/28/2021 1:13 PM
16	There is no reason why Tadcaster can't become a successful, thriving town. These are good ideas but inevitably will be challenged by the local landowner.	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q21 Do you agree with Policy Intention TC4?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	84.67% 127
No	6.00% 9
Don't know	9.33% 14
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	specialised markets?	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
2	people arent aware of existing market though	1/14/2022 6:54 PM
3	Needs a designated area	1/14/2022 10:56 AM
4	Better location needed for marketing	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
5	needs a designated area	12/21/2021 6:46 PM
6	Provided this does not result in the permanent closure of Kirkgate	11/26/2021 1:01 PM
7	This will only work if traders are prepared to take part, which at the moment they are not. The market day should not be the same as other local markets, for example Wetherby.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
8	I think the current markets/ street fairs held in Tadcaster are a wonderful part of the town's culture and can heartily back any support/ enhancement of them.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
9	Street market once a week but on designated site without disruption traders businesses and traffic	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
10	This needs to be developed in sympathetic harmony with whatever is intended for the current central car parking area	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
11	I am not sure of the viability of our open air markets now, which tend to look completely out of character. I would like to see the stall holders have indoor spaces in one of the unused buildings with individual, non permanent, 'shop fronts' much like the stalls they have but inside.	11/24/2021 8:27 PM
12	Seems a good idea	11/21/2021 11:22 AM

13	Tadcaster had a thriving market about 30 years ago. I don't think you'll ever revive it in Tadcaster. Ironically, the central car park was the site of the market when it was thriving.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM
14	A weekly market is a wonderful thing in a town and ours has been a sorry affair in recent years.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
15	I think the town is too small for its own market place. Nearby villages such as Wetherby should host these	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
16	A street market would be great to bring in Sole Traders who just started or local business who want to grab new customers. I think that if we allow a platform for this it'll encourage new business in the town.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
17	There isn't really any street market at present and a market would be good but the town needs to attract far more young people with families for it to be viable.	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
18	Would love to see the existing street market enhanced and promoted further afield.	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
19	Non-Planning Community Actions to include riverside attractions, coffee bar and beer garden facilities.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
20	Market was once thriving. Needs high visibility, easy access and parking	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
21	Although Tadcaster has historically been a market Town, do we really need a street market? I along with my friends and neighbours who live in Tadcaster have never visited the market the whole time they have lived here. What is required is more retail developments.	11/1/2021 10:08 AM
22	Market is a dying trade.	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
23	Need enhancement of regular market	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
24	Our market is not a patch on what it was when it was in the central car park.	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
25	This will increase visitor numbers, everyone loves a great market!	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
26	Recommend council considers York market as a guide here as this permanent fixture allows for smaller independent food and retail offerings and is accessible to those who work during the normal day.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
27	There is not really any space to do this realistically and this could cause major congestion.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
28	Must be in the streets not in a back car park	10/30/2021 3:48 PM
29	The monthly weekend market is a fantastic addition to the town	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
30	Not sure we need the town centre WiFi just because they never work well in my experience.	10/29/2021 9:30 AM
31	Where is it proposed that this street market will be located? There have been various locations attempted over the years and none of these has attracted a significant number of attractive stallholders.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
32	Not in favour of a street market if it means closing roads	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
33	A market town needs a market, but I think a lot of towns are struggling to get trader's to fill the stalls...	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
34	Opportunity for market is a good thing but need to safeguard access to properties on Westgate so that they are not adversely affected. Use of unused land would enable such an event to be held off street.	10/28/2021 3:11 PM
35	Good idea	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q22 Do you agree with Policy Intention CFS1?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	96.00%	144
No	1.33%	2
Don't know	2.67%	4
TOTAL		150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	central carpark needs putting on the list	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
2	depends on use of a facility	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
3	Are they in risk of loss?	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
4	Great, but we need improvements not protection.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
5	Agree, these services and facilities should not be lost. All those I have ever interacted with were things that drew me to move to Tadcaster in the first place and remain important parts of my life here.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
6	Public funds should not be utilised to shore up failing facilities. New and better facilities must be encouraged. All listed can and should be replaced if a better option is provided. Market forces must be allowed to determine the requirements.	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
7	Essential	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
8	Remember we also have tadcaster tennis courts on fairfield road	11/9/2021 8:56 PM
9	The council should vigorously support these against possible closure	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
10	List to include Citizens Advice Centre and Tourist Information etc.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
11	And support others that attract visitors to the town on a wkend with travelling supporters	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
12	Absolutely, none of these facilities should be lost.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
13	Definitely need to hold onto these facilities. They are so important	10/31/2021 5:20 PM

14	Current facilities need protecting , community library already limited	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
15	Community services are vital, as seen after the Boxing Day Floods.	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
16	Scout hut	10/30/2021 3:48 PM
17	The gym in Tadcaster really needs upgrading I currently drive outside of Tadcaster to use a gym and would rather stay in Tadcaster	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
18	Critical not to lose the pool	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
19	How will this be achieved? Many of the facilities identified are run by private or charitable organisations and are already struggling financially.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
20	Should also include the Scout Club	10/28/2021 7:27 PM
21	We need all f those facilities	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
22	It's a must to keep these & any new community services.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
23	Protecting the heart/essence of the town	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q23 Do you agree with Policy Intention CFS2?

Answered: 151 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	85.43%	129
No	5.96%	9
Don't know	8.61%	13
TOTAL		151


#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	what is the defintion of a community hub? prefere one in the town centre - natwest building tobring together many services	1/14/2022 6:45 PM
2	If the existing is kept and improved developing a Tadcaster Sports Park and Community Hub at London Road is not need	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
3	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assesments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby Destrict Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
4	I am not sure how this would impact on the existing sports centre and swimming pool. In addition, if the town is to support tourism, a combined tourist information office, working and display space which was open at weekends would help promote the town.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
5	This is already well advanced and has little to do with the NDP	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
6	Plus Tadcaster Arts Centre	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
7	Tadcaster Community Sports Trust objective is: "To promote community participation in healthy recreation and the advancement of amateur sport for the benefit of the inhabitants of Tadcaster and the surrounding area through the provision of playing surfaces and facilities for the playing of amateur sport and community recreation for the benefit of the local community with the object of improving physical and mental wellbeing". The Trust therefore fully supports the development of recreation and sporting facilities on the London Road site as an appropriate location for outdoor sports and leisure facilities. The Trust is well advanced in its proposed	11/24/2021 9:34 AM

plans for the site and in order to deliver a solution which benefits the whole community is working closely with key stakeholders who in turn are providing considerable support. The Trust is proposing to hold a public consultation during February 2022 during which the full plans will be disclosed and be available for viewing by the townsfolk and all other interested parties.

8	Having lived in Tadcaster for a number of years this will cause a nightmare for local people. Because of the increase in new builds and commercial properties in the Sherburn area I have over the last 6-8 years seen for myself the increase in traffic coming from Sherburn and going through Tadcaster either to get to Leeds or to go through Boston Spa to get to the A1. Coupled with the access to HGV's on the brewery site, opposite this would cause an increase in noise and air pollution.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
9	In principle	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
10	Inclusion of a decent sized gym	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
11	The town is desperately short of grass playing surfaces, all weather playing surfaces, running track etc etc. These facilities are vital to support health and well being, particularly in the post-Covid world and funding should not continue to be focused on Selby.	11/4/2021 5:05 PM
12	There are already sports facilities in the fields adjacent to Tadcaster Leisure Centre which could be developed into a sports centre.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
13	But make sure this is a community asset not one which benefits those in charge of the facility	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
14	Most certainly	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
15	Per earlier comments that our existing community facilities should be better developed rather than building new facilities which will impact the environment and consume space needed for housing and retail.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
16	There should be investment in additional playground facilities near the town centre. A lost opportunity to encourage families to visit the centre and spend money on the highstreet	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
17	We have a number of disparate sporting activities around the town , bowls, tennis, football , sports centre, swimming pool. If the aim is to bring these together under a common banner then I would agree , if the aim is to create another stand alone facility then wouldn't.	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
18	This is definitely needed and will make such a difference to the town.	10/28/2021 9:26 PM
19	Though this should look to include improved access and parking next to the venue.	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
20	In principle I agree but not sure I agree with closing Tadcaster Community Pool as it has served us well over the years and is an excellent facility	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
21	What facilities will the Community Hub offer?	10/28/2021 8:38 AM
22	think this will be badly used by youths in the area.	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
23	Great - give the kids somewhere to go and something to do	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q24 Do you agree with Policy Intention H1?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	86.67% 130
No	9.33% 14
Don't know	4.00% 6
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	empty properties first	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
2	local needs	1/14/2022 6:58 PM
3	A need for starter homes	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
4	I would like to see a lot of evidence before any new building is agreed.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
5	As pointed out earlier housing requirements need to take into account broader issues not just local ones.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	Any housing development should put the needs of the community/residents first, not the profits of a housing developer. I also think any new housing development should ONLY be considered AFTER far more of the abandoned/ empty/ derelict housing is back in productive use. Otherwise, I fear that insular new build estates will be added onto Tadcaster that will not revitalise the community. As a former resident of such a development (elsewhere in the country) I can strongly advise that simply constructing more houses does not a community make.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
7	Sounds good but how is going to determine the local housing needs?	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
8	I don't want to see Tadcaster become an even larger town. I lived most of my life in a city. When I moved here it was so peaceful; low noise levels, not many cars parked on the street. Now you can't walk down some footpaths without having to walk on the road for cars and vans parked on the footpaths. Noise levels have increased. More housing/infrastructure = more people = more noise pollution = more air pollution = increase in waste = a negative effect on our planet.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM

9	I agree in theory but the problem is we need to attract development, while we can encourage diverse buildings I think we should remove as much red tape as possible to both help expand Tadcaster inside and out.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
10	Yes but it needs to be for all citizens of tadcaster, and not focus on affordable housing	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
11	Affordable housing is desperately needed. Builders are allowed far too much leeway on new properties, only building the very minimum AFFORDABLE homes. The council should be building, owning and renting houses out again. Not everyone wants to spend years paying to buy when its only real value is when you die. Currently a 2-bed property to rent in Tadcaster is between £750 to 800 per month, if your lucky; so naturally people can't save to buy as well as paying this sort of sum each month.	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
12	Definitely need to undertake an assessment of need to identify how much and what type of housing is needed.	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
13	There are several sites in and around the town centre for a mix of housing to supplement the repair and upgrade of existing properties at various price levels. These should be fitted with solar panels.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
14	I'm not convinced that Tadcaster needs new housing builds. I think there are sufficient empty properties which need to be utilized first.	11/1/2021 11:54 AM
15	The mix needs to be carefully considered not to lower the standards of housing in the community.	11/1/2021 10:08 AM
16	Do not build new houses, it is pointless and a waste of resources.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
17	It would be great to move in to a four bedroom house very few in Tadcaster available	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
18	Although local need is important it sounds like a waste of resources to survey. There is a clear lack of family dwellings	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
19	Tadcaster arguably benefits from the lack of new property and land to build on ; this keeps Tadcaster as a defined compact area rather than a suburb of the larger cities of Leeds and York. New property would serve to swell numbers not currently linked with the local community	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
20	There are plenty of boarded up properties that need sorting first.	10/28/2021 9:26 PM
21	"Local housing needs" must be seen to include the poorest in our society, including listening to their voices.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
22	Though redevelopment of existing properties should be the priority before new build to support policy GNE9	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
23	Once again if rumours are correct will the builder/landowner dictate who purchases/rents the properties? Will the properties be leasehold or freehold? If the 'Lord of the Manor' does dictate whoever rents/buys the properties Tadcaster will be full of white married couples who vote Conservative go to church and have no SKY TV.	10/28/2021 6:22 PM
24	But not at the loss of the central car park and how about getting Humphry to also make his land by the old cinema over to parking as it is very much needed in Tadcaster. It is about time he did something for other people not just to suit himself	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
25	Making properties affordable to the local people is very important.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
26	Use existing empty houses first	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
27	Very good idea - good luck in getting it past Humphrey. I think you are going to need support at a governmental level to include compulsory purchase orders, otherwise Humphrey will just tie this up in litigation.	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
28	Affordable housing and housing for older people also affordable	10/27/2021 9:46 AM

Q25 Do you agree with Policy Intention H2?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	82.67%	124
No	10.67%	16
Don't know	6.67%	10
TOTAL		150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	affordable homes should be compulsory on all schemes	11/14/2022 6:29 PM
2	Promotion of rentals also	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
3	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby District Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
4	One of the problems with this element of the plan is the relatively constrained geography of the Tadcaster parish. There is already some spillover of housing into Stutton and Healaugh and new housing might require a further extension of this. External factors also need to be taken into account, for example the plan by Leeds City Council (not implemented yet) to build 3,500 houses on land just outside Tadcaster's boundaries would have a major impact on Tadcaster requiring the use of land that otherwise might be allocated to housing.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
5	nonsense question, that is explained by the last 3 words!	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
6	Energy efficient and well insulated homes required.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
7	Too many people cannot get on the property ladder. I do believe the empty houses round Tadcaster should be utilised first.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
8	Where new-build developments are made, whether on green- or brownfield sites, a high proportion of 'affordable' and indeed 'social' housing should be demanded from the developers. They make significant profits by selling houses in towns like Tadcaster which the community	11/19/2021 12:24 PM

	shouldn't be afraid to demand a share of in return for accommodating the new houses into the community.	
9	This is vital if we want to bring new people in, and if we want to keep existing people. We should show that we're a community via showing that we offer security and safety to all.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
10	If necessary	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
11	Its the councils duty to make sure all new housing goes to local young families or couples to give the town a chance to come alive and flourish. But is must be a realistic price, preferably council owned and rented out.	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
12	See above	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
13	I don't agree with new building new housing in Tadcaster.	11/1/2021 11:54 AM
14	Affordable housing with reason so as not to lower the standards of housing in the community.	11/1/2021 10:08 AM
15	Vital to provide affordable homes especially in a semi rural area where wages are relatively low.	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
16	The thing that keeps Tadcaster safe and welcoming is the house prices are high so the only people who are here are the people that can afford it. we came from a run down, cheap area that were not looked after at all, we built our life up so we could escape that and succeeded, we don't want to go back to that.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
17	But not at the cost of the central car park which is over full on many days already. To lose it would kill the town centre off altogether	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
18	Would love an affordable housing house	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
19	Concern over comments about affordable housing, planning for the future must be aspirational	10/27/2021 9:39 AM

Q26 Do you agree with Policy Intentions H3-8?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	55.33%	83
No	35.33%	53
Don't know	9.33%	14
TOTAL		150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	central carparking - free- is key to attracting visitors	1/14/2022 7:36 PM
2	need the carpark	1/14/2022 7:30 PM
3	excluding central carpark	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
4	but not at loss of carparking centrally	1/14/2022 7:23 PM
5	need to retain a central carpark for visitors anbd customers for local shops	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
6	cdntral carpark always busy it needs to remain	1/14/2022 7:10 PM
7	you intend to keep play areas GNE2 yet in the next breath intend to build on one?	1/14/2022 7:06 PM
8	butchers field should be a play area	1/14/2022 6:58 PM
9	no to central carpark	1/14/2022 6:45 PM
10	No greenfield should be built on til all other optiosn used	1/14/2022 6:29 PM
11	but not to build on central carpark - old people need it	1/14/2022 6:25 PM
12	Central car park needs to be kept as it is	1/14/2022 2:25 PM
13	Should not build on central car park on Butchers Field Barnados to be developed into a hotel	1/14/2022 10:56 AM
14	Central car park is essential for parking. Close to shops etc.	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
15	should not build on central carpark or butchers field.	12/21/2021 6:46 PM

16	I don't believe new housing is necessary for the town and object to the proposed new housing developments on "likely to be allocated sites" Housing is already relatively cheap in Tad and lots of it.	11/26/2021 4:12 PM
17	Don't agree with the development of new housing sites. New builds are never in keeping with the "existing historical and architectural quality" (one of the key aims). They will put a huge strain on transport congestion, emissions and infrastructure. Improve whats already there rather than generating a sprawling mass of development which will negatively affect the appearance and resources of the town.	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
18	I am happy to support new housing as long as there is enough in place to cope with the increase in residents such as roads, parking, schools etc	11/26/2021 1:39 PM
19	I disagree with the proposal to build 43 houses on the Central Area Car Park. SDC proposal states "Reinstate the historical residential land". This area was not all residential, there was a brewery, complete with yard, chapel and workshops. The statement is therefore inaccurate. SDC also states that the proposal "will only be considered acceptable" if "like for like car parking is provided elsewhere in the town centre" . This has not been found. TTT3 only lists RHY as a "town centre" parking area, and the proposal is that this is to be used as residential parking. No provision has been made for shoppers to park in the town centre.	11/26/2021 1:01 PM
20	The Central Car park is an important amenity in the town, well used by local residents and an essential part of the town 'offer' to people visiting the town and using its facilities, businesses, venues and so forth..	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
21	I strongly oppose building upon the central car park in any capacity but particularly as housing. The central car park is a vital town facility. It supports every single business in the town centre. Building housing there will be horrendous for the town and I believe it absolutely conflicts with some of the aims laid out by the council themselves. I fundamentally disagree that any other site would work so well or be so discreet as the existing central car park. It would absolutely undermine support for the markets and street fairs as both patrons and vendors use the car park. It would undermine support for the churches whose congregations (many of whom are elderly and could not necessarily walk if parked further away) use the car park. If the council wishes to attract tourism and café culture, this would certainly not be helpful to that aim. In addition, building houses on this site would bring more traffic and parking issues to the centre as, likely, every new resident would have AT LEAST one car per household (very possibly more). All of this would put more pressure on on-street parking in the the centre of town. I truly believe that building on this site would be hideously short-sighted for the town's future and cannot believe the council could truly justify this as in anyway good for Tadcaster.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
22	6 questions in one! The Central car park is a significant and unique facility compared with surrounding towns , it must be protected to encourage both locals and visitors. Much of the rest cannot happen without a major change from SSOB.	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
23	Would like , though , to understand what may be planned for Central Area Car Park	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
24	I have reservations about residential building on the car park. Surely there are better locations of that size for housing? I think that the central area should be available for community/commercial use.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
25	The loss of the central car park in Tadcaster would in my opinion be a grave mistake and act as a deterrent to visitors and potentially locals using town facilities. I agree with the other sites	11/23/2021 12:53 PM
26	The central car park should be kept. This is vital for people to travel into Tadcaster. I use on numerous occasions during the week. And it ideal walking distance to the shops, riley smith hall and the church. It is also convenient for the swimming pool as this car park is often full when swimming lessons are on.	11/22/2021 9:51 PM
27	I agree, however I don not believe that the central area car park should be developed as a housing site. This should remain a car park.	11/21/2021 6:43 PM
28	Butcher's Field access roads narrow and busy already. Central Area Car Park should not be built on	11/21/2021 4:03 PM
29	In principle. I would not build on the central car park area though.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
30	I do not agree with building houses on the central car park or land north of Station Road.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM

31	I approve development, in principle, on all the six sites named. I think the vacuum that is currently the central car park would be an ideal site for housing and I would support a scheme that does not make car parking the dominant design feature of such a development. People with disabilities, including those related to advanced age, must be catered for and there should be provision for loading and unloading. Permanent car parking right outside one's home is not a necessity in my view, but a luxury - I don't have it and am prepared to walk a distance to my parking spot. It is also arguable that a lot of people need to exercise more, anyway. The grassed area next to the central car park would make a nice Green space and I would like this to be included in the plan.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
32	Land north of Station Road needs to be built on sensitively as this is one of the main gateways to the town. Mill Lane also needs to be sensitive to potential flooding issues/future use as part of a flood defence scheme. Central car park should not be built on under any circumstances, this is a vital community asset which sets Tadcaster apart from several other towns in the area and its loss would be devastating to the town centre, causing further decline and preventing the achievement of the council's vision for Tadcaster in 2040.	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
33	Tadcaster businesses do not want to loose the main central car park & after all the hassle and money SDC threw at it and the River bridge due to a certain individual thinking on himself rather than the local people you should ALL be ashamed to be even raising this issue. I imagine it's just to make some money for SDC and not solely for the benefit of local people/business owners or the very much needed visitor to the Town. Neither does Tadcaster need a hotel. As I previously noted SDC may decide to use the building as a social housing enterprise. Remember these proposals are for the local community the ones who live here now and the ones who will come to live here when we are no longer around.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
34	Would disagree with housing on the central car park area, as alternative provision would be unlikely to be sufficient to support local businesses It seems counterintuitive to move the fit for purpose car park to less favourable locations rather than seek other areas to build housing	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
35	Am concerned that at least 1 of the proposed sites flooded recently therefore the flood defences are not presently adequate, this situation will likely get worse with the effects of Global warming. More information required on flood prevention plans.	11/12/2021 1:11 PM
36	I think we should get local input from these areas and then consider both the environmental impact and the economical impact then weigh which direction we should take via a vote.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
37	Butch's field has in the past been a viable play area for Hillcrest and Marlborough children and as such should be maintained as a green space and returned to being a play area. The central area car park is essential for disabled driver access to the town centre, proposed car parks afre to far aey.	11/11/2021 1:10 PM
38	I am against too much development in the central car park.	11/10/2021 1:42 PM
39	I strongly disagree with the central car park being used to build houses. I can not think of a quicker way to destroy the town than to take away the main and central parking. There is little enough parking already and visitors are not going to come if they have to search for parking or it is far away from the shops.	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
40	Not the mill lane development but would definitely like to see the development of the land to the rear of 46 Wighill Lane and Former Coal YArD as well as the row of terraced houses next to this site which not only look awful but are an accident waiting to happen as they look as though they could collapse at any point.	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
41	I would object to the central area car park being proposed as a 'likely to be allocated' housing site. This car park is a major amenity for the residents of Tadcaster and a major benefit to visitors. No amount of small, disparate, out of the way, difficult to locate car parks would compensate for the loss of this centrally located amenity. Let all the redundant properties in the town be brought back into use before the need for exploring this site is undertaken. If we want to attract visitors to the town the central car park must be retained. How does the proposal for housing development on the Fircroft/Former Barnado's Home align with E3 for a new hotel development on the same site?	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
42	The development of the central car park should not form part of the initial tranche of housing but be reserved for a later stage when it's best use can be best assessed in the context of the development of the town as a whole.	11/3/2021 8:58 PM
43	Central area car park is a NO. All this vision is about regeneration of the town. The central	11/3/2021 10:08 AM


carpark has to stay for this to happen. History and the death of city high streets verses shopping centres shows you people want to park next to the shops and not walk from a carpark which is a few hundred meters away.

44	As long as loss of central car park doesnt have a negative impact in allowing easy access to the centre	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
45	Make use of the land that is available but in a sympathetic manner	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
46	However if the main carpark is to be built on more parking will be required if the shops, cafes, etc. are to attract customers.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
47	I don't agree with the building of new houses on some of these specified sites	11/1/2021 5:46 PM
48	Disagree on allowing Humphrey Smith to be allowed to develop his land for housing - in exchange for bringing a few of his properties back into use (which he should be doing anyway). He will undoubtedly renege on any agreement made with him as he is thoroughly untrustworthy.	11/1/2021 11:54 AM
49	The car park areas need to remain as car park areas, reduction in parking will not help the prosperity of the town centre.	11/1/2021 10:08 AM
50	Unlikely some of land would be available for development	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
51	As with any new housing it has to be sympathetic to our needs and resources such as school places, Doctors, etc....	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
52	How can you come here and claim to want to conserve greenery and wildlife and then on the next page say how you want to develop new houses on GREEN AREAS. This is absolutley outrageous and ridiculous. Again, all you want to do is build new houses and that is it. You don't care about Tadcaster at all because if you did then this plan would consist of renovation plans for existing land and buildings and would aim to preserve nature. What you want to do is destroy nature and cause mass disruption. Not to mention destroy the surrounding roads. This plan has lost all merit now it has shown its true intention.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
53	In my opinion it's a good plan to build houses where historically there were buildings there before. The central car park, and Mill Lane being good examples of this. However I don't think we should build on fields until all the empty run down properties in town are renovated.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
54	Not sure about central car park and Mill Lane is a flood zone	10/30/2021 3:48 PM
55	I don't understand the logic of changing the central area car park into housing. It doesn't seem to fit with the big picture plan? Also land to rear of 46 wighill lane: Not to have access from prospect drive / prospect Avenue. Street too narrow as it is.	10/30/2021 10:36 AM
56	Particularly agree that central carpark be built on. It is dead land and often used by non shoppers but workers who park all day. Building on it provides vibrancy to the centre.	10/30/2021 9:40 AM
57	Again the town council are not town planners, urban designers or architects. Leave it to the professionals and then respond when development is designed	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
58	Focus should be firmly on rapidly restoring derelict properties around the area , and clearly establish accommodation potential of these versus likely demand for housing. Sites designated for building conflict with the aspiration for more green space and leisure facilities of residents already in the town.	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
59	But want to ensure green spaces are also protected and we don't become somewhere with too many houses crammed into one place.	10/29/2021 9:30 AM
60	The central car park should stay as a car park as it is central! Businesses struggle enough without losing a nearby car park. Also local residents to the car park have to put up with the noise and unsociable behaviour from customers leaving the late licensed social club. To have to endure a new housing development being built seems very unfair. Most of these residents are elderly.	10/28/2021 9:26 PM
61	The intended use of the Central Area Car Park for housing is extremely short-sighted: the existing car park is used not just by the people of Tadcaster but by many from surrounding villages who come to the town on a regular basis for the day-to-day shopping needs. They have little alternative but to travel by car and need parking space. If the central car park is lost, these residents will shop elsewhere.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM

62	Development of the central car park would seem to be at odds with the development of Tadcaster , particularly when there are so many vacant properties around the car park itself. Appropriate development of other areas is fine, but again emphasis should be on redevelopment before new.	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
63	Do not think we can afford to lose the central car park. I would also be concerned about the traffic flow to Butcher's field. (I always thought it was Butch's field after the horse that lived there?)	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
64	I'm particularly interested in your plan's for the derelict properties @ 46 Wighill Lane & the coal yard as we live in the cottages @ 42 Wighill Lane, I know the said buildings where due to be re developed then Sam's put an objection in & subsequently purchased the buildings off the developer & are left as an eyesore, as per Humphry Smiths order's. I'm sorry that there's a Sam Smiths theme in a lot of my feedback, but he has held this town back for decades.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
65	So long as the building works and access to new estates does not impact on the existing householders	10/28/2021 1:13 PM
66	In my opinion, the use of the central carpark for new housing is ludicrous. Anything owned by the brewery is unlikely to be developed.	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
67	Strongly object to Central Car Park being removed	10/28/2021 8:38 AM
68	In principal a good idea bar the potential of building on the central car park. If your plan is to attract more businesses, visitors and residents, they will need somewhere to park surely?	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
69	Not central car park	10/27/2021 9:46 AM
70	Would need further clarification on specific land being used and number of houses etc	10/27/2021 9:39 AM

Q27 Do you agree with Policy Intention E1?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	87.33% 131
No	6.00% 9
Don't know	6.67% 10
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Market forces must apply unless central government is attracted into Tadcaster. What other sites are to be considered	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
2	I don't understand what this means	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
3	it's important we ensure that people stay employed to help keep money moving and our economy stable.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
4	Local jobs provide wealth to the community.	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
5	We should consider the future of work in 2040 and be honest about which industries need protecting vs those which naturally will decline. Support instead should be provided to retrain and upskill local community in the involvement of technology in future world of work and also in service sectors such as care, nursing, leisure etc.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
6	What happens if market demand is not there, but demand for conversion to residential is?	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
7	The traffic around the industrial estate and access to the tip etc is horrendous. Perhaps some yellow lines near the egress to Station Road would help but again parking is the problem. Parking is also the problem and dangerous when turning up Station Road from Boston Spa. You should have yellow lines around the bend and also at the bottom of Station road. There was a crash there only recently involving a police car because someone could not see. If cars are parked right on the junction of Station Road you also cannot swing wide to get up the road. I consider this to be very dangerous a serious accident waiting to happen	10/28/2021 4:45 PM

Q28 Do you agree with Policy Intention E2?

Answered: 149 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	83.22%	124
No	7.38%	11
Don't know	9.40%	14
TOTAL		149

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	there is enough in tadcster and surrouding area	1/14/2022 6:29 PM
2	Car parking to be made avaiuable on site. There is too many vehicles "on road" parking.	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
3	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assesments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby Destrict Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
4	New developments need to take into account the impact on local traffic.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
5	Jobs are vital. To exclude jobs because they look different would be ludicrous and stupid. Do we expect new businesses to include derelict premises just so they match the current situation!	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
6	Don't know what windfall sites are	11/21/2021 4:03 PM
7	Provision of office space to attract 'white collar' work to the town is extremely valuable, as well as providing community access to e.g. solicitors, accountants etc. Would also reduce the requirement for people to commute into York (more environmentally friendly, revitalises the town centre).	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
8	I thought this was about local employment and industrial/commercial land but I note that you refer to "windfall sites" I have read somewhere that windfall sites usually refer to housing not commercial property?	11/16/2021 2:00 PM

9	I don't know what a windfall site means	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
10	In part subject to comments above about future world of work.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
11	The growth of employment in Tadcaster is important provided these places are not eyesores and a noise nuisance.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
12	Sympathetic Development of existing major employment sites (Breweries , engineering firms) should be supported , but with major shifts to home based working and commuting to Leeds , York and the major industrial estates at Sherburn in Elmet it is questionable why new employment sites in the area would be required. However some redevelopment or repurposing of sites to the eastern extremities of the town may afford opportunity.	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
13	Redevelopment / re-use of large factory space in Islington should be promoted.	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
14	This policy is important, to keep the young employed in well paid & diverse forms of work.	10/28/2021 4:37 PM

Q29 Do you agree with Policy Intention E3?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	80.67%	121
No	11.33%	17
Don't know	8.00%	12
TOTAL		150


#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	could have happened years ago	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
2	E4?? Yes.	1/14/2022 12:33 PM
3	E4 - Yes	1/14/2022 11:35 AM
4	e4 yes	1/14/2022 10:56 AM
5	What about E4?	1/14/2022 10:14 AM
6	Where is the evidence for this? What about a Caravan\Motorhome park to the East of Tadcaster with improved access to the town from this side via the A64 from Leeds to York?	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
7	See the earlier comment on self-catering accommodation and its overall impact on the available housing stock. 'Fircroft' is in Healaugh. WHAT HAPPENED TO POLICY INTENTION E4.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
8	Yes, provided this is done respectfully and is not simply a large, ugly chain hotel inappropriately inserted into the beautiful countryside. (This survey does not ask if I agree with E4 for some reason).	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
9	Location suggested is inappropriate (no one would stay so far from anything) and is already earmarked by SDC for housing. SSOB would have to agree anyway. As for fitting in with surrounding environment = it is nonsense to keep the malaise that already exists.	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
10	Let's hope that an investor can be found	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
11	I would particularly like to see the development of the Jackdaw Pub area into a community hub supporting such things as a smaller public house, surgery, community centre, play space,	11/24/2021 8:27 PM

	shops and other.	
12	About time	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
13	Should there be plans for the hotel to be in the centre of town for easy access to transport links?	11/22/2021 9:51 PM
14	More visitor accommodation would be welcome - a lot of folk do want to stay in Tadcaster for various reasons.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
15	I also agree with Policy Intention E4 which appears to be missing from the survey.	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
16	NO. You did not ask about Policy intention E4- my response to this is YES	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
17	Some where for visitors to stay is a much needed investment. If we are to invest in bars and a cafe culture this would stretch to evening meals and dining. The natural way forward would be a place for visitors to stay after enjoying these delights	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
18	In principle	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
19	This is vital if we want to encourage tourism/ outside investment	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
20	Yes absolutely needs to happen	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
21	Also yes to E4	11/10/2021 1:42 PM
22	This site should definitely been brought into use. Its a disgrace that it has been left empty for so long. E4 MISSING	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
23	Not sure, there is already a huge amount of traffic which uses this road which causes congestion at key times in the day so not sure about adding to this issue.	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
24	I think the demand for an additional hotel needs to be there before one is commissioned otherwise we could end up with a white elephant.	11/4/2021 5:05 PM
25	How does this intention align with the H3-8 for housing development on the same site?	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
26	Good luck on that. We are back to the Smith family again!	11/3/2021 10:08 AM
27	Important to make good use of all empty property	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
28	No E4 shown	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
29	Where is E4 on the survey?	10/31/2021 5:20 PM
30	Both properties stood empty and decaying for years , how will this be achieved ?	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
31	A decent hotel will be an excellent addition to the town.	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
32	Yes! But we must build in partnership with wider redevelopment otherwise we build a hotel which is not attractive to tourists and falls into ruin. We must give people a reason to visit and stay in Tadcaster and then build the lodgings.	10/31/2021 10:09 AM
33	Tadcaster is NOT a holiday resort, it is a town where we live our lives.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
34	It would be lovely to have a hotel in Tadcaster we have relatives and friends that visit and would be great for them to have somewhere to stay overnight!	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
35	Not sure this is needed. Old Britannia pub would be better choice as more central to town	10/30/2021 10:36 AM
36	I live near here and particularly welcome this.	10/30/2021 9:40 AM
37	Focus on getting Tadcaster right for those of us who live here	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
38	Development of the site as a hotel in principle is fine, but depends upon an attractive aspect to the river wharfe and access to viaduct / cycle routes etc: It therefore needs to be done in tandem with major investment along the river scene.	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
39	Where has E4 gone? Please protect Stutton Road shops and perhaps help with car parking. There is an empty site next to the Jackdaw pub that could be used for housing or parking	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
40	What a waste of decent buildings, ie Bernados home on Wighill & other substantial boarded up Sam's properties around town...	10/28/2021 4:37 PM

41	It will never happen unless Sam Smith has a different mentality from his father	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
42	what the town needs	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
43	Just not sure we need a hotel in Tad. Who would use it? I can't imagine Barnado's as a Premier Inn but I could imagine it as a youth centre or even a cinema (think of the great cinema in Wetherby, run by locals).	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q30 Do you agree with Policy Intention TTT1?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	92.00%	138
No	4.67%	7
Don't know	3.33%	5
TOTAL		150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	need illuminating 30mph signs on every incoming main road	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
2	why??	1/14/2022 7:10 PM
3	Cycling provisions please!	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
4	But where is the detail?	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
5	Take steps to open to two registered public footpaths in the town centre which are currently closed by obstructions.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	Not much need or desire for more facilities and must keep horses away from town centre	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
7	Re-opening the viaduct and allowing access from both Wighill Lane through to Wetherby Road and the riverside path would be beneficial to walkers, cyclists and horse riders.	11/25/2021 11:22 AM
8	A link between the viaduct and Wighill Lane would be nice.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
9	The network is important, but crucial is the need to encourage folk to walk and cycle more. In recent years, cycling has really taken off in our area, but the majority of this is purely recreational. The number of people using bicycles as a mode of Transport in Tadcaster is small. I'm one of them, of course. We look to The Netherlands as an example, where a big chunk of journeys are by bike.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
10	I don't see a problem with the existing provision. Of course though, if you want more visitors and more housing that may need to increase the need for further provision, but generally I'm not sure. No mention is made of past, current, possible future population of the town or how many houses you and SDC wish to build. So it's impossible for me to offer any further comment.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM

11	In principle, as it is not yet identified I am unable to know if I would be in support	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
12	You forgot E4 Also yes other modes of transport are needed for other plans and goals such as climate change.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
13	Haven't seen the map	11/7/2021 9:36 PM
14	Definitely improved cycling provisos, ideally linking the existing cycle paths to fully connect Newton Kyme through Tad to Wetherby	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
15	A well established footpath across the former Barnado's home was closed off by the landowner in 2009? and has remained closed following two public inquiries which ultimately ruled in the landowner's favour. The path remains closed even though it is located at a perimeter extremity of his land and away from his property which is empty. There is no reason why this path cannot be made available for public use and could be included in TTT1 for improving and extending the town's walking routes.	11/4/2021 2:45 PM
16	The support of local shopping is essential to a vibrant and low climate impact town.	11/3/2021 8:58 PM
17	Why did the town stop the cycle path proposed by Sustrans from Newton Kyme to Tadcaster?	11/3/2021 10:08 AM
18	A map?	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
19	I think it's a shame that Tadcaster has no cycle paths like Wetherby and York. We should have a cycle network connecting us to these other towns. We have many cyclists coming through but they are forced onto our narrow roads and can cause a hazard.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
20	I didn't think this is an issue we have at the moment	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
21	Even painted cycle routes on existing roads will help (eg the wide main road in from Islington).	10/30/2021 1:20 PM
22	Road safety needs to be a priority for all users. Speed bumps/cameras as you come in to Tad from the A64 both from Leeds and York. Traffic including lorries come flying through on these roads constantly throughout the day and nothing is being done about it.	10/28/2021 9:26 PM
23	can the local council get agreement to develop the viaduct, like that done across the river between boston spa and the thorp arch trading estate ?	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
24	Very important - Stutton Road is a very important social hub and needs support not discouragement with limiting planning applications	10/28/2021 1:13 PM

Q31 Do you agree with Policy Intention TTT2?

Answered: 150 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	78.67% 118
No	16.00% 24
Don't know	5.33% 8
TOTAL	150

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	disabled access	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
2	why?	1/14/2022 7:10 PM
3	a64/a162 - This was proposed in Feb 1989	1/14/2022 10:56 AM
4	Agree with poibnt oine but unsure about points 2-3	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
5	a64/162 proposed in 1989	12/21/2021 6:46 PM
6	In my opinion the actual development are enough	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
7	You need to provide much more detail about what you mean. There is a severe lack of evidence, information, detail, impact or risk assessments for most of what is written in this document. It feels like a waste of time and money that could have been better spent supporting existing residents who have been short changed by Selby Destrict Council for far too long. This statement applies to many of the questions you are asking so you will see this statement a number of times as it is relevant throughout.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
8	I think speed cameras should be considered, particularly from A64 to Toulston Lane to Garnett Lane	11/26/2021 1:39 PM
9	I disagree with the proposal to the pedestrianisation of Kirkgate/Westgate	11/26/2021 1:01 PM
10	A64/A162 connection should be both on and off from the western approach. Kirkgate/Westgate should not be pedestrianised. The road width should be limited to one lane (except at the junctions with High St) and traffic calming measures introduced. The resulting paces should be used for wider pavements.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM


11	I agree with most proposed improvements except for the pedestrianisation of Kirkgate/Westgate. Personally I believe the current one way system works well and helps to alleviate traffic congestion in the town centre. The current system of temporary pedestrianisation (for example, for markets and fairs etc) works well and is appropriate. I would also point out that traffic is clearly much heavier on the surrounding roads on these days (understandably). Therefore, I would not like to see this be made a permanent issue for the town centre.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
12	PRIORITY ONE is the ability to travel towards and from Leeds on A64/A162 junction vastly reducing heavy traffic in the town and safer for children near TGS. Item 2 doesn't exist? Item 3 Definitely NO as previously mentioned. Policy should not be instigated if something better than existing is proposed.	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
13	Very much so re. A64/A162 improvement to allow both West and Eastbound traffic. Concerned that pedestrianisation of Kirkgate will inhibit traffic flow around the existing one-way system.	11/25/2021 11:22 AM
14	As mentioned above , the lack of A64 access towards Leeds from London road is a quiet outrage , and a telling symbol of the unhealthy effect of vested interests on the town	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
15	This is long overdue, particularly the A64/A162 London Road junction - provision of Leeds/A1 bound access i feel would alleviate a lot of the traffic prolems within the town. But this must be done inconjunction with routing traffic away from the town centre. How can a new, vibrant, cafe culture plan be implemented when the traffic noise in the centre is so off putting.	11/24/2021 8:27 PM
16	Especially point 1	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
17	Tadcaster Community Sports Trust would ask that the proposed A64/A162 London Road Junction within this policy intention be reconsidered further taking account of the proposed sports park location. The Trust envisages such a junction improvement would likely increase vehicle speeds and volumes along the A162 to the detriment of road safety and more particularly in relation to future users of the sports park. The vision for the site includes for pedestrians and cyclists having easy access to the facility via existing footways but it is felt that such movements could become vulnerable to these increased traffic movements along the A162. Albeit we acknowledge that traffic speed restrictions could be implemented, increased traffic flows there is also a potentially increased risk of accidents with vehicles seeking to turn into and out of the proposed sports park. It's probably fair to say the road improvement is car/vehicle focussed and would therefore not encourage the health or wellbeing of Tadcaster residents which is a key Trust objective, but in addition any improvement would require some of the land at the southern end of the proposed sports park. We would wish to point out that under our proposals the southern end of the site is designated for nature and ecology providing facilities for amongst other things school projects and outdoor classrooms. In addition, a lake/large pond is intended which will not only enhance the ecological offering but also assist with water attenuation which will be a key requirement at the site.	11/24/2021 9:34 AM
18	To reclaim part of the town centre from the cult of the car and pedestrianise it, would be a marvelous thing for Tadcaster. People will just have to walk a little bit further!	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
19	NO to creating an access to A64 at London Road junction. The main reason on my part is noise & air pollution & destruction of green space.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
20	In the main i agree, however i dont see the benefits of turning Kirkgate into a pedestrian only part of town	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
21	Would disagree with pedestrianisation of Kirkgate/Westgate. This would preclude access for residents in this area Would be problematic for emergency services to access a number of properties How do hearses get to the Church? In TTT3 it is proposed that there would be a car park at Robin Hood Yard - how does this work if the approach is pedestrianised?	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
22	I agree that we should ensure that traffic flow is at its best for both the economies sake, people's sake and emissions sake.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
23	Pedestrianisation of Kirkgate/Westgate not in the interests of the elderly and infirm who have severe walking issues and who require access to central facilities, dentist, churches, optician etcetera.	11/11/2021 1:10 PM
24	As earlier - against permanent pedestrianisation of Kirkgate.	11/10/2021 1:42 PM
25	Not sure Kirkgate has enough shops etc to warrant pedestrianisation	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
26	Add to the list of highway improvements - A64 Tadcaster Bar Interchange. In 2004 the then	11/4/2021 2:45 PM

Highways Agency(now Highways England) went to public exhibition stage on a scheme for providing an east-bound off slip and a west-bound on slip at the eastern end of Tadcaster ByPass. The scheme was eventually shelved and removed from the programme partly due to the objections of a local landowner. Following the bridge collapse in 2015 I lobbied the local MP, Selby District Council and the Highways Agency for support in re-including the scheme in the highways programme. The Highways Agency wrote to say they would continue to work with Selby DC and NYCC to establish a better case for the scheme in which the recent floods had highlighted a clear issue with the resilience of the local road network. The scheme has the following benefits:- it provides resilience in the road network in case of flooding in the town centre; it provides and completes a convenient route for access to Tadcaster east to and from the A64; it reduces the volume of traffic through the centre of Tadcaster, and, it supports future air quality by reducing the amount of through traffic in the town centre. The scheme would require no new structures - only the provision of dumbell roundabouts on either side of the A64.

27	Not sure about pedestrianisation of Kirkgate. Access to church essential	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
28	Slip road improvements would help reduce congestion in town and create a cleaner air environment	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
29	A map/plan would help	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
30	There is no case for creation of Leeds / A bound access. The existing accesses are sufficient.	11/1/2021 10:08 AM
31	Much needed especially the London road a64 junction	10/30/2021 10:36 AM
32	Brilliant news. New junction to a64 will alleviate heavy wagons going through Tad to get to A1. Also fully support pedestrianisation of Kirkgate to allow street cafe culture.	10/30/2021 9:40 AM
33	Pedestrian area not needed	10/29/2021 1:35 PM
34	Creation of Leeds/A1 bound access at A64/A162 must be a priority to remove many heavy goods vehicles from the town centre (especially Leeds Road).	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
35	Please sort out the Boston Spa Road and Station Road junction outside Coors Brewery. It is very dangerous we need yellow lines for a good way around the bend and at the bottom of Station Road. You cannot see left and cannot swing wide when cars are parked everywhere. Cars have even started parking on the other side of the road (Sports Centre side) as well which makes it a single lane and traffic goes haywire. It is an accident waiting to happen. There was one there recently.	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
36	I'm not sure about pedestrianising Kirkgate as businesses would potentially lose trade...	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
37	Probably the last item on the to-do list would be pedestrianising Kirkgate. Until all the other improvements have been made and the town is properly rejuvenated, this can wait	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q32 Do you agree with Policy Intention TTT3?

Answered: 151 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	73.51% 111
No	17.88% 27
Don't know	8.61% 13
TOTAL	151

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	with defined blue badge parking in sensible places	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
2	There's enough car parkings	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
3	Why not have a park and ride next to a new Caravan/Motorhome Park on the East Side of Tadcaster as suggested above and make all the car parks 2 hours max. We just get people from outside tadcaster clogging up the parking with no benefit to the town.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
4	"Policy will protect existing public car parking capacity in the town centre" This statement is in direct conflict with the proposal to build houses on the central car park. Of the 4 listed sites only Robin Hoods Yard could be described as the "Town Centre". It is also proposed that RHY will be used as "off site parking for residents" for these central car park properties, as a result it will therefore will not be "Public parking"	11/26/2021 1:01 PM
5	Existing parking provision can be protected by keeping the Central Car Park. Additional parking may be useful to enhance the use of particular areas.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
6	I am not opposed to increasing the parking capacity at some of the proposed sites. However, I am in absolute opposition to this being at the expense of the central car park in pretty much any way, shape or form. None of the sites would support local commerce as well as the existing car park.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
7	Protect Central Car Park and existing capacity. The parking at TCST cannot be included as it will be a private facility - should be under 'Non-Planning Community Actions'	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
8	As it is proposed that the current town centre car park will be used for housing, it is important that all other parking options are clearly defined and discussed prior to proceeding. At the consultation meeting I attended on the 13/11/21, it was mooted by the planning official in	11/25/2021 4:14 PM


	attendance that a larger scale map of the town centre noting possible car parking locations and capacities be presented for further discussion. The plans available were small scale and lacked detail.	
9	And retaining our rare "free parking" provision	11/25/2021 11:22 AM
10	I am broadly in agreement with this but the new car parking must have easy and safe routes to the town centre. If its difficult, or takes more than a few minutes to walk into town, there is a risk of discouraging visitors.	11/24/2021 8:27 PM
11	This doesn't look sufficient and requires suitable capacity to service the intended improved facilities and retail offering.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
12	Tadcaster Community Sports Trust wishes to point out that proposed parking provision at the proposed sports park will also be available to serve the longer-term parking needs of Tadcaster town centre. It may be argued the location for such parking is not ideal when compared to existing provision, but the Trust objective is to promote health and well-being and would suggest the exercise undertaken as a result of parking at the sports park would be of benefit to those individuals who use the facility. It could also promote the increased use of cycling which a further key consideration in the Neighbourhood Plan. In addition, there will also be facilities at the sports park for the parking of larger vehicles such as coaches which could assist the development of the tourist economy.	11/24/2021 9:34 AM
13	The existing car park should not be built on. The suggested new sites are not convenient for the town especially for adults with young children or the elderly.	11/22/2021 9:51 PM
14	More parking needed not less. Road sides filling up rapidly which often causes congestion.	11/21/2021 6:43 PM
15	Creating a few spaces in the areas listed would not make up for the loss of spaces in the central car park. No-one is going to come to Tadcaster and search these areas for somewhere to park. They'll just go elsewhere.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM
16	As previously mentioned, if folk have to park a bit further away from the town centre, then they will have a bit of exercise and also pass several shops that they wouldn't have done otherwise. This could lead to additional purchases. The counter argument is that people would go to the next town to shop and Tadcaster would lose out. I don't believe this to be the case - I think they would get used to the new system. Many towns will also be taking similar action, so Tadcaster need not suffer by the proposed parking revision.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
17	Public car parking will unfortunately be required for many decades to come and should be planned for appropriately. Protection of the provision of free car parking is vital to the prosperity of businesses in the centre of town; Wetherby provides free car parking, as does Boston Spa, so charging for car parking would be a death knell for the town centre.	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
18	I'm unclear how you can "protect existing public parking in the town centre" when you clearly state in the same paragraph that you "also support development" I think the development you mean is putting housing on the existing central car park. As a resident I am totally against this. I do think though that the car park should be free for an hour and then a charge should be made for the remaining stay even if it's 50p > £1.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
19	I feel we have enough parking in the town with the centre car park , bus station and sainsburys	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
20	Would need to know full details of plan for Robin Hood Yard as would have a direct impact on our property	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
21	Parking it vital if we want people to use other modes of transport.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
22	The proposed car parks other than Robin Hood Yard are not within the walking distance of the town centre facilities for persons with walking limitations.	11/11/2021 1:10 PM
23	If Kirk gate is pedestrianised how would cars access to Robin Hood yard ? What is happening with the Britannia bus centre car park? Developing car parks outside of the town centre would require improvements to walkways into the town centre.	11/9/2021 8:56 PM
24	Surely this contradicts H3-8. There is not enough parking in the town	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
25	As per previous comments, additional housing and car parking at Mill Lane with only the one access point will cause huge amounts of congestion	11/6/2021 10:31 AM
26	Supported on the proviso that the increased public car parking at the four listed sites are	11/4/2021 2:45 PM

additional and not in replacement of the Central area car park. In which case the four listed sites should be included as development areas NOT car parking.

27	Leave the central carpark alone.	11/3/2021 10:08 AM
28	Would this provide sufficient parking ?	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
29	But suggestion had previously been made to pedestrianise kirkgate. If so Robin Hood yard wouldn't work.	11/1/2021 8:15 PM
30	How does this fit with the building plan?	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
31	Please do not get rid of any existing car parks, we need these.	10/30/2021 9:10 PM
32	Keep the central car park	10/30/2021 10:36 AM
33	I don't think a huge car park in the centre of the town is a particularly good use of space. Large businesses in the centre need to learn to adapt and if necessary build their own provision	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
34	The central car park should be the main car park for its accessibility to the local shops and businesses.	10/28/2021 9:26 PM
35	Robin Hood Yard cannot realistically be considered as an acceptable area for car parking - the entrance is far too narrow.	10/28/2021 8:56 PM
36	Development of robin hood year as parking seems bonkers as access is very poor and could be used to develop housing / other commerical development near to the river wharfe, church etC:	10/28/2021 7:24 PM
37	I agree with developing new parking sites but not with the loss of the central car park. Humphry could also give his land next to the old cinema for parking which would help for the Church, Riley Smith Hall and adjacent businesses as well as the town centre.	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
38	The Central carpark should be included here. It is by far the most important.	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
39	Good idea	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q33 Do you agree with Policy Intention TTT4?

Answered: 148 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	89.86% 133
No	6.08% 9
Don't know	4.05% 6
TOTAL	148

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	one for the future	1/14/2022 7:23 PM
2	I guess you will have to.	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
3	More public charging required, especially near A64	1/14/2022 10:14 AM
4	No wish for residents to loose the town centre car park .	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
5	Not until I see what they are	11/26/2021 2:33 PM
6	Charging points in the Central Car Park would be a useful facility to attract person travelling on the A1M, A1, A64 to stop over in Tadcaster.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
7	Plus existing parking facilities	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
8	Absolutely and supporting homes who may seek to embrace domestic charging of EVs to enhance Tadcaster's air quality	11/25/2021 11:22 AM
9	ASAP	11/23/2021 12:53 PM
10	Is essential as more people move driving electric vehicles	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
11	If you're intent on new car parking provision - you could put as many charging points in as you like but I doubt they'll be used.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM
12	I agree with the installation of electric vehicle charging points in new developments. A solution will need to be worked out at a national level for folk, such as us, who live on a street with a pavement between the house and the street where the car is parked - i.e. how to charge a car in such a situation.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM

13	Services such as electric car charging points, possibly to attract motorists from the A64 to recharge and spend time in Tadcaster, should be prioritised. In the longer run, revenue from car charging could defray costs of providing parking.	11/19/2021 12:24 PM
14	Totally agree with the need to provide an EV hub in the local carparks....Or we could just go back to using the horses as in your canny advert for SSOB on page 22! On that note I would think that who ever designed the consultation brochure should be reminded to be impartial and not be seen to be 'linking up' with a large local employer to sell the residents of the Town this "Plan" of "intention for future prosperity" who's prosperity are you referring too I wonder, as residents we don't make any money out of the town....you make it from us.	11/16/2021 2:00 PM
15	I think that if we put Electric car charging stations it will both encourage people to switch as well as show that Tadcaster is aiming for a better future.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
16	Its an asperation but will ordinary people ever get to afford electric cars in the near future	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
17	Most certainly, though houses with solar panels should also be fitted with charging points, which would provide free charge to the property owners.	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
18	This will be a major issue in year's & decades ahead, I fear it will also be very costly to install the necessary infrastructure...	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
19	More charging points will be required over time. If parking is away from housing, there will be a major need for investment in infrastructure to meet demand.	10/28/2021 3:11 PM
20	Great - just bought one. It's the future.	10/27/2021 12:50 PM

Q34 Do you have any comments about any of our proposed Non-Planning Community Actions?

Answered: 73 Skipped: 78

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	existing hotel is substandard and doesnt meet building regs as not accessible for disable	1/14/2022 7:36 PM
2	e4 - yes	1/14/2022 7:33 PM
3	amazing town full of dereliction -	1/14/2022 7:28 PM
4	e4 - yes	1/14/2022 7:23 PM
5	E4 - yes	1/14/2022 7:21 PM
6	e4 ? stutton road is the most overlooked street in the town for speeding, parking and driving on pavements. policy needs to help these residents	1/14/2022 7:15 PM
7	e4 - yes	1/14/2022 7:08 PM
8	e4 - cant pedestrianise kirkgate and use robin hoods as a carpark	1/14/2022 7:06 PM
9	e4 - yes as long as parking is made better and safer	1/14/2022 7:01 PM
10	E4 parking needs improving but yes	1/14/2022 7:00 PM
11	central carpark proposal too intensive - slum of tomorrow - and where will residents park?	1/14/2022 6:45 PM
12	more time and effort should be made into providinf safe and better cycling and pedestrian links across the area	1/14/2022 6:29 PM
13	make sure you fill all the empty houses before you take a good green field. If you close Kirkgate how are cars going to get to park in robin hood yard?	1/14/2022 6:25 PM
14	Please, please, please do not lose the central car park for housing	1/14/2022 11:29 AM
15	Cycle lanes take up a lot of room. Fron what I have seen in other towns with cycle lanes they are hardly used	1/14/2022 10:29 AM
16	What about E4?	1/14/2022 10:06 AM
17	More improvement on flood defences needed improvement to access the beach and walking by the river	1/12/2022 1:38 PM
18	No	1/12/2022 1:22 PM
19	Yes, promote tourism, food festivals and street markets, more litters	12/9/2021 11:43 AM
20	These are shorter term actions which could be put in place relatively quickly to support current residents. Some are a nonsense " eg Countryside management - address areas in need of management" Recycling, rubbish and litter - address identified problems" They dont say anything and therefore its not possible to comment on them. They should be more specific - use SMART objectives to give clarity, people will then be able to comment effectively. I do wonder how many, if not all of them, have been on the agenda for a lot of years and never actioned.	11/26/2021 3:36 PM
21	I do not know where to begin. It is a joke and clearly just paying lip service to consultation. This survey is very badly worded, could easily be skewed as there is no tracking of who has completed it, how many times anyone would complete or whether the people completing it actually even live in Tadcaster. Someone could easily skew this survey. Total waste of time and money that could have been spent improving things for the people who currently live in Tadcaster. There seems to be a clear agenda from the start that this is all just about building new houses in Tadcaster with no consideration of evidence, need, risk or impact.	11/26/2021 2:33 PM

22	There was no mention of policy intention E4. As I live on Stutton road this one concerns me a little. There is already issues regarding the amount of traffic using Stutton road at present and there is also an issue regarding speeding along the road. I would appose this if provisions weren't in place to manage this.	11/26/2021 1:39 PM
23	I disagree with the proposed closure of Kirkgate/Westgate on a permanent basis. There is no longer a weekly market. The original proposal for this plan was to include extensive changes to the junctions at either end of Joseph Street and the widening of the road. There is no mention of these in the proposal. The use of T AFC as a regular car park can only go ahead with improvements to the access down the side of Bartles, for pedestrians and/or cars. Flood lighting would also need to be considered.	11/26/2021 1:01 PM
24	T AFC car park. Please bear in mind how people would get to and from this car park. On the one hand walking through the Sam Smiths brewery operations and the narrow New Street or along the public footpath to Bridge Street which currently has two in appropriate kissing gates that prevent buggies, pushchairs, wheelchairs from exiting that way.	11/26/2021 11:39 AM
25	I think there are some really lovely ideas within these sections. I essentially support most of these actions aside from the pedestrianisation of Kirkgate/ Westgate.	11/25/2021 11:21 PM
26	Utilising T AFC car park will be very difficult to find for casual visitors. If employees of a Company want cycle racks then they should approach their employer = it can't be enforced upon them.	11/25/2021 5:59 PM
27	None at this stage.	11/25/2021 4:14 PM
28	All fine with me , but let's ensure that we develop the potential walking routes in the surroundings and don't over-focus on the cyclists	11/24/2021 10:20 PM
29	I applaud the vision of the plan but would like to see it within my lifetime. However the development proposed will need a developer to see long and short term profit in the proposals for it to take place. And although the whole plan is exciting I would want to see more detail on actual proposals.	11/24/2021 8:27 PM
30	Significant change needs to happen on a much shorter timescale than in the development plan, and certainly before SDC ceases to exist. Everything that can be done without waiting for the plan to be finalised needs to be done ASAP. I fear that everything will be put on hold indefinitely and this plan will go the same way as all the other plans that have been consulted on in the past and ultimately nothing happens and the town declines further.	11/24/2021 5:32 PM
31	all ok	11/21/2021 4:03 PM
32	Seems OK, as long as the cycling does not hinder those who need to travel by car/ buses.	11/21/2021 11:22 AM
33	Public car parking. If people have to drive round back street areas looking for somewhere to park they will not come back to Tadcaster. There are too many places nearby where you can park your car e.g Wetherby/ Harrogate/Knaresborough/ Leeds/ Garforth.	11/21/2021 11:16 AM
34	I agree with all these proposed actions and am particularly interested in the cycle and walking related ones. As a member of the Tadcaster Walkers are Welcome committee, I can advise that we are about to sign up to the North Yorkshire Path Keeper Scheme which will allow us to help maintain public rights of way and also to liaise with landowners to encourage them to sort out issues.	11/19/2021 9:34 PM
35	I would like to know more about who is responsible for drawing up these plans and where the data came from to produce the needs for change set out in the plan. I would like to see more provision for the reduction in noise pollution and better air quality. I'd also like to see better funding for our local schools. More green energy ideas implemented. Your brochure was quite contradictory on the one hand we need to look to plan for the future of the town but the other was images of Tadcaster past. You could have sold it better to me if you'd have had some town planners mock up the "future Tadcaster". I like the idea of making Tadcaster a green and safe place to live and work. I'm not sure these plans fulfill that. I'll just end by saying take care of going down a path of bistro's and cafe's and pedestrian zones. I think back when I go and visit my home city all there are are cafe's and eateries and bars and pubs..most of the shops have gone and the main city centre street is no longer the vibrant place it once was, now litter everywhere all the individual local business priced out and long gone and even the big business no longer there, so sad. But then I remember two local places I like to visit perhaps	11/16/2021 2:00 PM

you could look into what makes them work so well and implement some of their ideas one is Wetherby and the other is Garforth.

36	I think the plan in the main is a solid one. The town has fallen into an almost derelict state now and unless action is taken this will only continue to get worse. Unfortunately until the smiths release the strangle hold they have on the town, dictating what shops can open, leaving buildings (home and commercial) to degrade and become eyesours im not sure this will happen. We have hundreds of cyclist travel through the town each week, Beer dvocates who would love to visit tadcaster for the breweries and brewery history yet we dont do anything to capture this. We have an awesome history and dont seem to make this heard. Hopefully you will do something about this.	11/16/2021 1:50 PM
37	I would like to see the town developed in line with the 21st century but without that development being held to ransom or being subject to local business dictates.	11/15/2021 6:50 PM
38	There appeared to be considerable tension between members of Town Council at the information event at The Barn. Also if the Mayor tells us that elements are a fait accompli, it seems a little disingenuous to be seeking the opinion of residents.	11/14/2021 12:56 PM
39	I think that generally these are great plans and the fact you are requesting the input from the town is both democratic and useful to help ensure you're doing the correct things/ decisions. But I do want to mention you did forget E4.	11/12/2021 7:04 AM
40	Happy that the proposals cover the interests and aspirations of the younger end of the community but the needs of the the older residents appear to be taking a back seat.	11/11/2021 1:10 PM
41	None	11/10/2021 4:07 PM
42	I agree with most of the non-planning actions it will be interesting to see how much is actually accomplished. Finally, I must point out that E4 POLICY is missing on both this and the paper forms - a strange oversight.	11/6/2021 11:37 PM
43	now make it happen	11/6/2021 12:38 PM
44	Disposal and specifically recycling of waste, with provision and maintenance of the local facilities to do so, should be of continuing importance and be encouraged in policy terms. It would be of huge benefit to Tadcaster if all the policy ambitions were achieved and let's hope all the principal landowners in the town agree with the stated aims!	11/5/2021 11:48 AM
45	NO	11/4/2021 2:30 PM
46	The town council really needs to have a good look at itself if this is all they can come up with, you have no real idea on what the town needs and can't see past the end of your own noses. You need to look at development of the town and tackle issues that you are able to deliver on. Why build new homes and reduce parking ? what does that achieve, get the town tidied up get a dedicated Police presence tackle ASB, crime DRUGS and empty property. Attract business not obstruct it. Go away and have a proper strategy and development vision that is real not a fairy tale	11/4/2021 10:05 AM
47	Some excellent ideas to enhance the town environment and work towards a vibrant community.	11/3/2021 8:58 PM
48	They all make sense and part of the wider vision	11/3/2021 8:54 PM
49	Creative use of derelict property a high and immediate priority	11/2/2021 4:56 PM
50	Include these in the policy plan	11/1/2021 7:39 PM
51	Not at present	11/1/2021 5:46 PM
52	Due to some ownership issues with properties and land how will all this be achieved ?	10/31/2021 5:19 PM
53	All comments have been made throughout.	10/31/2021 3:46 PM
54	All seem relevant and welcome thoughts. Thank you to all who have worked hard to put these proposals together.	10/31/2021 3:35 PM
55	I'm supportive but they feel lacking in ambition. We should focus on community collaboration to support policy initiatives. For example if we pedestrianise an area let's accompany that with the delivery of a local market and provide cycle parking nearby. That way the community sees	10/31/2021 10:09 AM

value in redevelopment, sustainability and value rather than the inconvenience of a closed former right of access.

56	I agree with the comments.	10/30/2021 8:13 PM
57	.	10/30/2021 3:48 PM
58	.	10/30/2021 2:52 PM
59	No	10/30/2021 1:20 PM
60	Particularly keen to renovate derelict housing so they can provide homes for people in need	10/30/2021 12:05 PM
61	Great idea	10/30/2021 9:51 AM
62	Good idea to use AFC site for car park. Can this not be permanent and relocate the club who must be desperate to leave	10/30/2021 9:40 AM
63	NO	10/30/2021 9:05 AM
64	Support	10/29/2021 7:45 PM
65	Many appear to be sensible and practical steps; funding permitting these could be executed now for an immediate and practical benefit for the local community.	10/29/2021 4:31 PM
66	Good Job-	10/28/2021 7:27 PM
67	Naive without the Lord of the Manors go-ahead.	10/28/2021 6:22 PM
68	Please keep everyone consulted and updated and don't give in to blackmail from Humphry. There are legal measures to get people to bring properties back into service without him blackmailing you!!!	10/28/2021 4:45 PM
69	There are a lot of positive idea's, but 90% of the success or failure will hinge on coaxing Sam Smiths out of the 18th century & into the 21st...	10/28/2021 4:37 PM
70	My overriding comment on the whole document is that everything is dependent upon the cooperation of Sam Smiths Brewery.	10/28/2021 12:47 PM
71	Agree	10/27/2021 5:04 PM
72	Good luck in the forthcoming battle with H. Blimey - I think I just solved Line of Duty!	10/27/2021 12:50 PM
73	More flowers along river bank wildflowers meadow flowers tree planting flowers in town centre green spaces public benches. Riverbanks dogs must be in leads enforced by bailiffs etc fines to be applied. To avoid disturbance of anglers.	10/27/2021 9:46 AM