

Tadcaster Town Council The Ark, 33 Kirkgate, Tadcaster LS24 9AQ

01937 834113 clerk@tadcastertowncouncil.gov.uk www.tadcastertowncouncil.gov.uk

Open Monday to Thursday 9.30am to 12.30pm

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY 8 FEBRUARY AT 7 PM IN THE BOYS SUNDAY SCHOOL OFF KIRKGATE

PRESENT:	CHAIRMAN	S Cobb
	COUNCILLORS	P Emmott, F Greig, G Lodge, D Mackay, C Metcalfe, C Stephenson
		& R Sweeting.
	CLERK	J Crowther
	DEPUTY CLERK	J Mottershead
	ALSO PRESENT	D Gluck TRCIC & Cllr T Grogan, Cllr A Lee, Cllr R Musgrave and 3 members of the public

- **C114** APOLOGIES (Item 1) To receive, record and approve apologies for absence: D Bowgett, J Chiswick & M Middlemiss.
- C115 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2) To receive and record declarations of interest at this point or at any other point on the agenda D Mackay and R Sweeting for item 9C. Members agreed to discuss item 11 at this stage of the meeting.

C116 SELBY LOCAL PLAN - (Item 11) -

a. Selby District Council – Members noted a reply received from Selby District Council regarding Freedom of Information Request for the Local Plan Consultation information.

R Musgrave reported that Selby Local Plan was a long legal process and now three years on was in the final process. Negotiations with landowners were ongoing.

Plans were to open the Plan to the public in November 2022 prior to delivering to Government. There had been a six week delay due to elections on 5 May 2022. The next stage of the Consultation was to take place during June/July 2022 the remainder of the year would be to make required amendments to the Plan.

Work to bring forward the scheme had been ongoing during Lockdown. Tadcaster's Core objectives were housing, highway improvements and empty properties in the town.

There were plans to draw up a legal agreement in conjunction with a local landowner which would commit both parties to delivery of the plan and include timescales for development.

Selby District Council would not exist in March 2023, all Local Plans in the district would be handed over to North Yorkshire Council to take forward.

The Plan was at a delicate stage, views would be welcomed in the June / July 2022 Consultation when the Plan could be looked at as a whole.

F Greig asked what the consequences would be should there be major rejections.

R Musgrave reported that as there had been two previous rounds of consultation and 4000 consultation comments had been received from across the district. that major changes were not expected.

D Mackay reported that a petition of 2300 against development of the Central Area Car Park had been collated. A local landowner had made development assurances and Planning consent in previous plans over 20 years and empty properties remained in the town.

He felt that there was further detail to be put in Councillor and public domain.

R Musgrave reported that District Councillors were up to date with current Local Plan issues. The emerging plan would be released as and when appropriate. Local Plan comments were sought through consultation, these are then considered and not made available until the next stage of consultation, he asked members to wait for the next plans and stage of the consultation prior to jumping to conclusions.

C Metcalfe welcomed the Heritage Regeneration in the plans but felt that it lacked ambition, housing numbers were low when considering the lack of any development over previous years. The Band D properties reflects this and did not guarantee a sustainable future for Tadcaster. The future of Tadcaster needed to be the number one priority and the hundreds of houses in the plan was not sufficient or sustainable. New generation house buyers had no incentive to stay in the town due to the lack of development.

The 16-million-pound A64 junction development had previously been rejected this should not be a reason to not have more housing. A change of approach was required which could include redefining Green Belt boundaries.

R Musgrave reported that landowner agreement was required and landowners could not be forced into supplying land. Every opportunity had been made for landowners to put land forward. Housing needs could be met across the district without the use of Green Belt land.

C Metcalfe reported that the district had three Services Communities one being Tadcaster but owing to recent strategies the town was not able to fulfil its role as a Service Community.

R Musgrave felt that the above comments were personal opinions.

A Lee felt the Local Plan did have ambition as hundreds of houses and regeneration of derelict buildings. The only way forward was to work with the Local Landowner.

The use of Green Belt land would involve lengthy Court battles.

There was a lot of detail to discuss and bring back into the public domain.

He supported R Musgraves plans.

P Emmott reported that a People and Places comprehensive review had been completed by Selby District Council, findings were not in the public domain.

R Musgrave to ask SDC for an update of the above review.

R Sweeting reported that the review had been made available to local members as part of the Economic Development Scheme.

D Mackay was concerned that Planning Consent had been given to a local landowner and not a brick had been laid. Schools' shops and businesses were struggling. Sherburn in Elmet and Selby had several development sites.

SUSPEND STANDING ORDERS - (Item 3) -

A resident felt that all parties needed to be on the same page.

He raised concerns regarding the limited scope for comments at this late stage of the Consultation.

R Musgrave reported that the plan was a legal document which had been well evidenced,

all comments would be welcome at the next stage of the consultation.

S Cobb reported that he had been assured that there was evidence that plans for the Central Area Car Park had been frozen.

R Musgrave confirmed the above information.

A resident was glad to hear the above information was in the public domain.

R Musgrave reported that a local major landowner would like to see the development of the Central Area Car Park, this would only be developed if suitable alternative car parking was found. A resident enquired if comments regarding the Central Area Car Park were put forward in the next consultation could the plans be removed as an option.

R Musgrave reported that all comments would be considered followed by a Selby District Council vote prior to sending Government.

A resident reported that a document had been submitted to the Council in November 2021, he had not received any dialogue from Councillors. He was unsure as to the thoroughness of investigations regarding current and future needs for the town.

The Clerk confirmed that the document had been circulated to all Councillors.

T Grogan reported that neighbouring towns i.e., Boston Spa and Wetherby were thriving whilst Tadcaster was intransigent. He felt that negotiations with local landowners was ambitious and delicate negotiations were necessary to move the plan forward.

Previous District Councillors had not successfully achieved development in the town.

REIMPOSE STANDING ORDERS - (Item 4) -

C Metcalfe reported that the first Executive meeting with a local major landowner had been unsuccessful. Could the Local Plan guarantee delivery of the plan?

Secure Timescales of delivery of the Plan would be required.

R Musgrave reported that a Legal Document would be drawn up to run alongside the 20-year Selby Local Plan.

A briefing of all District and Town Councillors was due prior to the next stage of the Consultation. **b.** Tadcaster Local Group – Member's update following Environment Committee meeting 11 January 2022 regarding the recognition of Tadcaster Alternative Group.

S Cobb reported that following guidance information received from YLCA the Environment Committee had recommended the following: -

RESOLVED - Following a majority vote the Council could not endorse the group at this time due to lack of information regarding the procedures and makeup of the Group.

PUBLIC SESSION – SUSPEND STANDING ORDERS – (Item 3) -

A member of Tadcaster Alternative Group reported that a statement asking for the Council to recognise the Group had been sent to the Council to be forwarded to members. This was out read to members.

REIMPOSE STANDING ORDERS - (Item 4) -

Members thanked the above member of Tadcaster Alternative Group for their statement.

R Sweeting reported that the Town Council was required to be neutral and therefore could not set a precedent by supporting one Group in the town, therefore the Council could not endorse the group.

RESOLVED - Following advice the Council could not support Tadcaster Alternative Group.

C117 Members agreed to discuss item 8 at this stage of the meeting.

BREWERY HERITAGE MUSEUM – (Item 8) - Members update of plans for a Brewery Heritage Museum in the town.

P Emmott reported that he had no Financial Interest in a Brewery Visitor scheme. He would like to see a Visitor Centre as a major visitor attraction. A visit to Burton on Trent Brewery had been arranged and other Brewery Centres had been contacted.

A location had not yet been secured.

Support was to be sought from the Town and District Council. A proposal and strong business model were to be put forward during the next 12 months.

A Pop-Up Interactive Exhibit Event was to be arranged in the near future.

The Town Council was asked to support the proposal by providing funding to be put towards a website.

T Grogan reported that as a member of SDC Health and Culture and there were no museums in Selby District he fully supported the proposal.

R Musgrave supported the proposal.

C Metcalfe left the meeting.

C118 POLICE REPORT – (Item 5) - Members noted and discussed the Police report.

The Clerk reported that Local Police would like support from the Council for a recommendation to NYCC for yellow lines on Centre Lane to stop lorries parking at night-time.

Members felt that this action would disperse overnight lorry parking within the town and therefore did not support yellow lines in this area.

C119 PLANNING - (Item 6) -

a. Members noted and comment as required on the following applications received from Selby District Council: -

40/21 - **25** Garnet Lane – Demolition of existing conservatory and erection of a single storey rear extension.

RESOLVED – Members had no objection to the above application.

ACTION – Clerk to reply to SDC accordingly.

b. North Yorkshire County Council - Tadcaster Bridge Seating Area – Members update of planning for Tadcaster Bridge Seating Area.

Members were informed that NYCC were to withdraw the above application The Council were being asked if they would like the base of the former temporary bridge to be removed.

RESOLVED – That the above area be left in its present state with the possibility of investigated as a possible public amenity in the future.

C120 CORRESPONDENCE – (Item 7) - members noted and made comments as required: -

a. YLCA – Weekly White Rose Bulletin – 21 & 28 January 2022

b. Resident Letter – regarding Change of Use Planning Permission required for Bed & Breakfast accommodation in the town. Members had no concerns.

c. NALC – New Year – Open Letter.

C Metcalfe re-joined the meeting.

C121 BREWERY HERITAGE MUSEUM – (Item 8) - Members update of plans for a Brewery Heritage Museum in the town – This item was discussed at minute C116.

C122 GRANT AID AND TREAT – (Item 9) -

- a. Small Grants Members to discuss and agree Small Grant Aid applications received.
- Members discussed and considered a small grant application for Tadcaster Brewery Visitor Centre Website.

RESOLVED -

- Members agreed to the request for £234 Small Grant Aid for the above.
- That the above be paid when a Bank Account was created.
- Members discussed and considered an application received from TEMPT for funding of £250 towards Tadcaster Lantern Parade.

RESOLVED – Members agreed to £250 Small Grant Aid for the above.

ACTION – Clerk to deal.

b. Remaining Grant Aid Funding – Members to discuss and agree remainder of Grant Aid funding 2021/2022 as discussed at Finance & General Purposes Committee meeting 25 January 2022. **RESOLVED** – That remaining Grant Aid 2021/22 be carried into 2022/23.

ACTION – Clerk to deal.

c. To discuss and agree the payment of a section 137 Grant of £500 for the Tadcaster Treat. **RESOLVED – Members agreed the above 137 Grant.**

Action – Clerk to deal.

C123 TADCASTER NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN – (Item 10) -

a. Members update of Tadcaster Neighbourhood Development Plan – D Gluck reported that next Steering Group Meeting was 14 February 2022. The meeting would discuss and consider a Design Code drafted by AECOM. The agreed Code would be sent to Council for approval.

b. Members update and to approve minutes of a meeting held with Tadcaster Volunteer Car Service regarding a Tadcaster NDP complaint.

Members were informed that the above minutes were to be completed and brought to Council on completion.

c. Car Parking Meeting – members to note a report of the NDP Car Parking Workshop 8 January 2022.

D Gluck reported that no comments had been received regarding the report which was to be sent to Selby District Council, The Head of Planning at SDC and Councillors.

C124 SELBY LOCAL PLAN – (Item 11) – This item was discussed at minute 116.
a. Selby District Council – Members noted a reply received from Selby District Council regarding Freedom of Information Request for the Local Plan Consultation information.
b. Tadcaster Local Group – Member's update following Environment Committee meeting 11 January 2022 regarding the recognition of Tadcaster Alternative Group.

- C125 TADCASTER & RURAL CIC TEMPT (Item 12) Members update of future TEMPT events. D Gluck reported that the 2nd Event to be held on 20 February using Welcome Back Funding, was to be a large market and Lantern Parade.
- C126 NORTH YORKSHIRE COUNCIL (Item 13) Members noted a Draft Structural Order North Yorkshire 2022.
- **C127 QUEENS PLATINUM JUBILEE (Item 14)** Members to discuss and consider a recommendation from the Environment Committee meeting 11 January 2022, regarding the use of Council Reserves for the event.

R Sweeting reported that Jubilee Events had been successfully held in Tadcaster for all previous Jubilees.

The proposed event would be held in a similar format to The Christmas Market.

D Mackay reported he was awaiting a decision from NYCC for the use of Community Funding for the event.

RESOLVED - That £3000 be used from the Council Reserves if required.

C128 PLANTING – (Item 15) - Members to discuss and consider a recommendation from the Environment Committee meeting 11 January 2022 for the use of Council Reserves for Hanging Baskets in the town Summer 2022.

Members were informed that several baskets had not been maintained in 2021, shops and businesses were to be encouraged to maintain baskets, and be reviewed for future years. **RESOLVED** –

- That £2000 be used form the Councils Reserves for Hanging Baskets 2022.
- That Hanging Baskets be reviewed for future years.
- C129 COUNCILLOR VACANCY (Item 16) Members to discuss and agree a way forward for a Councillor vacancy in Tadcaster West Ward.

The Clerk reported that the Council had received interest for the vacancy and was awaiting an application.

C130 COUNCILLOR SURGERIES – (Item 17) - Members to discuss and agree Town Councillor Surgeries as discussed at Finance & General Purposes Committee meeting on 25 January 2022. Members had agreed for a Councillor Surgery to be held once a month in the Ark. RESOLVED –

• Councillor Surgeries to be held once a month on a Trial Basis on a Councillor Rota Basis in the Ark.

ACTION – Clerk to deal

C131 FINANCE – Payment of Accounts – Three Councillors approved and signed the cheques and stubs. Schedule to be circulated at the meeting.

			NET	VAT	TOTAL
1	9788	B K Parnaby & Sons	1337.50	267.50	1605.00

		0			
2	9789	B K Parnaby & Sons	4497.50	899.50	5397.00
3	9790	B K Parnaby & Sons	548.50	109.70	658.20
4	9791	D C G Tree Surgery	1255.00	0	1255.00
5	9792	Rural Market Town Group		8.67	52.00
6	9793	Julian Hall	46.25	0	46.25
7	9794	Julian Hall	43.75	0	43.75
8	9795	Citizens Advice Mid North Yorkshire	1000.00	0	1000.00
9	9796	D C Cleaning Solutions	84.00	0	84.00
10	9797	B K Parnaby & Sons	90.00	0	90.00
11	9798	B K Parnaby & Sons	3366.35	673.27	4039,62
12	9799	Darren Simpson	110.00	0	110.00
13	9800	Pullan Landscapes	180.00	0	180.00
14	9801	D Gluck (Survy Monkey)	99.00	0	99.00
15	9802	Tadcaster Treat Association	500.00	0	500.00
15	9803 – 9807	Administration	5857.37	0	5857.37
Diroc	Dehits				

Direct Debits

		Date	NET	VAT	TOTAL
DD1	RICOH	10/01/22	221.60	44.32	265.92
DD2	NYCOM	25/01/22	42.00	8.40	50.40
DD3	Selby District Council	16/02/22	306.58	0	306.58
DD4	Selby District Council	16/02/22	1210.60	0	1210.60

C132 REPORTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY COUNCILLORS – (Item 19) - Members update regarding County and District matters.

R Sweeting reported that District Council members were to be invited to North Yorkshire Council Briefing Sessions to update members regarding extra responsibilities in the early stages of change.

- **C133 WEBSITE NEWSLETTER AND MEDIA ITEMS (Item 20)** To suggest appropriate matters from this meeting to place on the website, Newsletter, Facebook and before the media Brewery Heritage Museum.
- **C134 APPROVAL OF MINUTES (Item 21) –** Members approved as a correct record the Minutes of the following Council and Committee meetings: -

а.	Extra Council	held	19 October 2021
b.	Council	held	2 November 2021
с.	Environment Committee	held	9 November 2021
d.	Finance & General Purposes Committee	held	23 November 2021
e.	Finance & General Purposes Committee	held	7 December 2021
f.	Council	held	7 December 2021
g.	Environment Committee	held	11 January 2022
h.	Finance & General Purposes Committee	held	25 January 2022

To consider exclusion of the press and public by virtue of the Public (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960 due to the confidential nature of the business to be discussed at the following agenda items only.