

TADCASTER NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2021-2040
SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT

PREPARED ON BEHALF OF
TADCASTER TOWN COUNCIL

MAY 2026

THE BENCHMARK CRITERIA

These are the definitions, with illustrative examples, of the criteria that have been used in order to assess/benchmark the impact of each policy, representing the environmental, social and economic dimensions of sustainability:

Biodiversity – wildlife sites, habitats, features plus geological sites and features. Also, strategic wildlife value, i.e. green and blue infrastructure.

Landscape – character, views, attractiveness. Taking account of Special Landscape Areas and conservation areas.

Heritage – conservation area, listed buildings, positive buildings, non-designated heritage assets, archaeological interest.

Natural Resources – covering air, water and soil quality/pollution.

Movement – traffic levels/congestion/flow, public transport, cycling, walking and accessibility to facilities.

Open Spaces – spaces available for outdoor recreation and leisure.

Community – health, education, social, cultural and indoor leisure and recreation facilities.

Housing Provision – housing levels.

Safety/Security – e.g. in relation to crime, traffic, health/safety.

Social Inclusion – inclusion/exclusion, equality/inequality. Taking account of poorer and disadvantaged members of society, e.g. older people, the very young, non-car owners.

Businesses – local businesses, business/industrial sectors more generally.

Jobs/Training – levels of and opportunities for.

THE SCORING OF IMPACTS

significant positive impact = ++

some positive benefit = +

no overall impact or not applicable = 0

some negative impact = -

significant negative effects = --

uncertain as to benefits/effects/impact = ?

POLICY BE1: TADCASTER CONSERVATION AREA - DEVELOPMENT AND DESIGN		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	+	Policy is likely to have a positive impact on townscape within the conservation area.
Heritage	++	Design and development respecting key architectural and historic features within the conservation area very likely to have positive heritage impacts.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	-?	Some possible negative impact on the ability of community facilities housed in conservation area buildings to adapt in order to continue to/better provide facilities/services.
Housing Provision	0	No overall likely impact.
Safety/Security	0	No overall likely impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No overall likely impact.
Businesses	-?	Some possible negative impact on the ability of businesses housed in conservation area buildings to adapt in order to maintain/improve their commercial operations.
Jobs/Training	-?	Possible negative impacts on businesses/community facilities may have possible knock-on effects on job/training opportunities.

POLICY BE2: LOCAL HERITAGE AREAS		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	This policy defines 2 local heritage areas and by doing so represents the basis for 2 individual Local Heritage Area policies (BE3 and BE4). This policy also encourages sympathetic enhancement to local heritage areas. The character of the areas is such that biodiversity impacts are unlikely.
Landscape	+?	This policy defines 2 local heritage areas and by doing so represents the basis for 2 individual Local Heritage Area policies (BE3 and BE4). This policy also encourages sympathetic enhancement to local heritage areas which could lead to positive landscape impacts, in terms of the appearance of buildings and other built structures.
Heritage	++	This policy defines 2 local heritage areas and by doing so represents the basis for 2 individual Local Heritage Area policies (BE3 and BE4), the implementation of which is very likely to lead to the conservation of heritage features in the defined areas. This policy also encourages sympathetic enhancement to local heritage areas which could lead to further positive heritage impacts.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+?	This policy defines 2 local heritage areas and by doing so represents the basis for 2 individual Local Heritage Area policies (BE3 and BE4), the implementation of which could in some cases lead to positive movement impacts (e.g. maintaining/enhancing pedestrian permeability – ref BE4). This policy also encourages sympathetic enhancement to local heritage areas which could lead to further positive movement impacts.
Open Spaces	0	This policy defines 2 local heritage areas and by doing so represents the basis for 2 individual Local Heritage Area policies (BE3 and BE4). This policy also encourages sympathetic enhancement to local heritage areas. The character of the areas is such that open space impacts are unlikely.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	-?	Some possible negative impact on the ability of businesses housed in listed/key unlisted buildings and NDHA and maybe other local heritage area buildings to adapt in order to maintain/improve their commercial operations.
Jobs/Training	-?	Possible negative impact on community facilities and businesses may possibly have negative effects on local jobs/training.

POLICY – BE3: YORK ROAD/WIGHILL LANE/OXTON LANE LOCAL HERITAGE AREA		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	+	Maintenance of/respect for traditional pattern/form, buildings/features and materials likely to have positive impact.
Heritage	++	Development reflecting traditional pattern/form, buildings/features and materials very likely to have positive heritage impacts.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	-?	Some possible negative impact on the ability of businesses housed in listed buildings and NDHA and maybe other local heritage area buildings to adapt in order to maintain/improve their commercial operations.
Jobs/Training	-?	Possible negative impact on community facilities and businesses may possibly have negative effects on local jobs/training.

POLICY – BE4: THE TOWN CENTRE BREWERIES LOCAL HERITAGE AREA		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	+	Maintenance of/respect for traditional pattern/form, buildings/features and materials likely to have positive impact.
Heritage	++	Development reflecting traditional pattern/form, buildings/features and materials very likely to have positive heritage impacts.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+	Retention of historic routes through the area will have positive impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	-?	Some possible negative impact on the ability of businesses housed in listed buildings and NDHA and maybe other local heritage area buildings to adapt in order to maintain/improve their commercial operations.
Jobs/Training	-?	Possible negative impact on community facilities and businesses may possibly have negative effects on local jobs/training.

POLICY BE5: PROTECTION AND ENHANCEMENT OF NON-DESIGNATED HERITAGE ASSETS		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	++	Conservation and/or sympathetic enhancement of assets very likely to have positive impact on heritage assets.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	+/-?	Three of the assets are local community facilities. Possibility of either positive or negative impacts on the community facility value of those assets. Impossible to be certain either way at time of assessment.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	+/-?	A number of the assets (e.g. public houses) are local businesses. Possibility of either positive or negative impacts on the businesses housed within those assets. Impossible to be certain either way at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+/-?	Uncertain impacts on community facilities and businesses may possibly have either positive or negative effects on local jobs/training. Impossible to be certain either way at time of assessment.

POLICY BE6: TADCASTER CHARACTER AREAS – DEVELOPMENT AND DESIGN		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+?	Policy could lead to some biodiversity benefits, e.g. hedgerow retention/provision, retained/enhanced tree planting.
Landscape	+	Policy should lead to both townscape (i.e. architectural design) and general landscape benefits (e.g. in relation to tree and hedgerow planting, retention of open character in bungalow development in west Tadcaster).
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY – BE7: TOWN GATEWAYS		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+?	The policy seeks to enhance the green environment at ‘gateway’ locations, which may in turn have biodiversity benefits.
Landscape	+	The policy seeks to enhance both built and green environments at ‘gateway’ locations and is expected to have a positive landscape impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY – BE8: DEVELOPMENT, DESIGN & ACCESSIBILITY		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+	By requiring accessibility for all users, policy is likely to have a positive impact on the ease of movement of those with special mobility, sensory impairment and neurodivergent needs.
Open Spaces	+?	Policy may have a positive access impact on those with special mobility, sensory impairment and neurodivergent needs, where development involving the creation or enhancement of new open spaces is concerned.
Community	+?	Policy may have a positive access impact on those with special mobility, sensory impairment and neurodivergent needs, where development involving community facilities is concerned.
Housing Provision	+?	Policy may have a positive access impact on those with special mobility, sensory impairment and neurodivergent needs, where development involving new housing development is concerned.
Safety/Security	+	By requiring provision of sufficient disabled/parent and child/carer spaces in new car parking, there is likely to be a positive impact on safety for such users.
Social Inclusion	++	Policy is likely to have a very positive impact on the lives on those with ‘special’ requirements.
Businesses	-?	Some possible negative impact on businesses needing to make extras adaptations as part of developments in order to make them policy compliant.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY GNE1: GREEN & BLUE INFRASTRUCTURE		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	The wildlife corridor function of green infrastructure should be both protected and enhanced through the policy's operation.
Landscape	+	The amenity function of green infrastructure should be both protected and enhanced through the policy's operation.
Heritage	0	While there is heritage interest within and/or close to identified GBI, there is unlikely to be any noticeable positive or negative overall impact on that interest.
Natural Resources	0	While the River Wharfe is a key feature of identified GBI, there is unlikely to be any noticeable positive or negative overall impact on this water resource.
Movement	+	The proposed PROW improvements highlighted elsewhere in the NDP represent specific proposed movement enhancements within GBI, and constitute a likely measurable positive impact.
Open Spaces	+	The recreational corridor function of green infrastructure should be both protected and enhanced through the policy's operation.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+	The recreational corridor function of green infrastructure should be both protected and enhanced through the policy's operation, maintaining/improving the opportunities for all to enjoy the many benefits of outdoor recreation.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY GNE2: MAGNESIAN LIMESTONE NORTH LOCALLY IMPORTANT LANDSCAPE AREA		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	++	By working to prevent serious harm to landscape character and special natural habitats (i.e. limestone grassland, woodland) within the landscape area, there should be positive impacts. By also urging a positive contribution to woodland enhancement/extension/interconnectivity, there should be further positive benefits.
Landscape	++	By working to prevent serious harm to landscape character and special features (i.e. views, woodland, walls, parkland), there should be positive impacts. By also urging a positive contribution to landscape enhancement, there should be further positive benefits.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	By working to prevent serious harm to landscape character and special features, some of which (i.e. the Tiver Wharfe and valley) constitute valuable local natural resources, there should be little or no overall impact. By also urging a positive contribution to landscape restoration or enhancement, there may in fact be some positive benefits, although the effects on for example water quality/pollution levels are uncertain.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	By working to prevent serious harm to landscape character and special features, many of which contribute positively to the quality of open spaces available for outdoor leisure/recreation, there should be little or no overall impact. By also urging a positive contribution to landscape restoration or enhancement, there may in fact be some positive benefits to the quality of such spaces, although the effects are uncertain.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact – not applicable.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	0	Could be considered as placing restrictions on existing rural businesses wishing to develop within these special landscapes. On the other hand, could be argued that development in accordance with policy provisions will lead to a high quality development beneficial to the business and attractive to its clients.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY GNE3: LOCAL GREEN SPACE PROTECTION		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	++	Designates and so bestows effective Green Belt protection to a number of sites with local and or infrastructure/habitat network value for biodiversity, so making a positive contribution.
Landscape	++	Designates and so bestows effective Green Belt protection to a number of sites with acknowledged landscape value (e.g. within the NA's conservation areas and green infrastructure), so making a positive contribution.
Heritage	++	Designates and so bestows effective Green Belt protection to a number of sites with acknowledged heritage value (e.g. within the NA's conservation areas), so making a positive contribution.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	++	Designates and so bestows effective Green Belt protection to a number of sites with local and or infrastructure value for open space recreation, so making a positive contribution.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+	The recreational function of a number of open spaces will be protected through the policy's operation, maintaining/improving the opportunities for all freely to enjoy the many benefits of outdoor recreation.
Businesses	+/-?	The designation may have implications for some of the sites in private ownership/with commercial interests in terms of restrictions on future development. Conversely, owners may welcome the protected open space use which the designation confers.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY GNE4: GREEN AND OPEN SPACE ENHANCEMENT		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	Enhancement could result in biodiversity benefits but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Landscape	0	Enhancement could result in landscape benefits but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Heritage	0	Enhancement could result in heritage benefits but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Natural Resources	0	Enhancement could result in natural resource benefits, e.g. to soil or water but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Movement	0	Enhancement could result in accessibility benefits but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Open Spaces	++	Enhancement very likely to result in open space benefits.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	Enhancement could result in safety/security benefits but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Social Inclusion	0	Enhancement could result in social inclusion benefits (e.g. greater access to sites for all where current access limited or prevented) but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Businesses	0	Enhancement could result in benefits for private owners but impossible to be certain at time of assessment. Owners unlikely to agree to enhancements that would impact negatively on their interests.
Jobs/Training	0	Enhancement could have implications for jobs/training but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY GNE5: TADCASTER RIVERSIDE PARK		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	A riverside park development as proposed by the policy is likely to result in biodiversity benefits.
Landscape	+	A riverside park development as proposed by the policy is likely to result in landscape benefits.
Heritage	0	A riverside park development as proposed by the policy is unlikely to result in heritage benefits.
Natural Resources	0	Although water-based recreation on the River Wharfe is supported by the policy, there is no likely overall impact.
Movement	++	A riverside park development as proposed by the policy would result in movement benefits, in terms of new footpath, bridleway and cycle paths linked into the existing PROW network.
Open Spaces	++	Policy is very likely to result in open space benefits.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+	New open space provision likely to result in social inclusion benefits (e.g. better access to open space for more people where previously limited or no access).
Businesses	+?	New open space provision could have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+?	New open space provision could have implications for jobs/training but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY GNE6: PROVISION OF NEW GREEN AND OPEN SPACE		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+?	New open space provision of the type supported by the policy may result in biodiversity benefits.
Landscape	+?	New open space provision of the type supported by the policy may result in landscape benefits.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+?	New open space provision could result in accessibility benefits, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Open Spaces	++	Policy is very likely to result in open space benefits.
Community	+?	There is a possible associative positive relationship between new outdoor sports provision and indoor community facilities, in that the new space may enclose/include the facilities as well as having a symbiotic functional relationship with them. As such, there may be some positive overall impact regarding indoor community facilities in terms of new provision but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact
Safety/Security	+?	New open space provision could result in safety/security benefits but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Social Inclusion	+	New open space provision likely to result in social inclusion benefits (e.g. access to open space for more people where previously limited or no access, particularly in south-west Tadcaster).
Businesses	+?	New open space provision could have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+?	New open space provision could have implications for jobs/training but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY GNE7: BRICKYARD POND		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	++	New open space provision as proposed by the policy is very likely to result in biodiversity benefits.
Landscape	+	New open space provision as proposed by the policy is likely to result in landscape benefits.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	+?	New open space provision as proposed by the policy may have positive impacts on the water quality of the pond.
Movement	++	New open space provision as proposed by the policy could result in movement benefits, in terms of new footpath, bridleway and cycle paths linked into the existing PROW network.
Open Spaces	++	Policy is very likely to result in open space benefits.
Community	+	Angling provision as supported by the policy is likely to result in some improvement to local community recreation facilities.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact
Safety/Security	+?	New open space provision as proposed by the policy could result in safety/security benefits through improved facilities for all users, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Social Inclusion	+	New open space provision likely to result in social inclusion benefits (e.g. access to open space for more people where previously limited or no access).
Businesses	+?	New open space provision could have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+?	New open space provision could have implications for jobs/training but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY GNE8: LAND AT SUNNYFIELD		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	++	Policy is very likely to result in open space benefits.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact
Safety/Security	+?	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+	New open space provision likely to result in social inclusion benefits (e.g. access to open space for more people where previously limited or no access).
Businesses	+?	New open space provision could have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+?	New open space provision could have implications for jobs/training but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY GNE9: DEVELOPMENT AND TREES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	Policy will have a positive impact on tree cover in the Neighbourhood Area and is likely to make a related positive contribution to biodiversity.
Landscape	++	Policy will have a very positive impact on tree cover in the Neighbourhood Area.
Heritage	+	In working to enhance the public realm, policy may have some positive impact on the setting of heritage assets, e.g. the conservation area, although impossible to say with certainty.
Natural Resources	++	In working to combat climate change and create high quality healthy living environments, the policy is very likely to benefit air and soil resources and may also have benefits to local water resources.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY GNE10: A64 TREE PLANTING		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	Policy will have a positive impact on tree cover along a stretch of the A64 and is likely to make a related positive contribution to biodiversity.
Landscape	+	Policy will have a positive impact on tree cover in part of the Neighbourhood Area.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	+	In working to combat climate change and create high quality healthy living environments, the policy is likely to benefit air and soil resources and may also have benefits to local water resources.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY – GNE11: RIVER WHARFE HYDROELECTRIC POWER SCHEME		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	+	Policy is likely to have a positive impact on air quality/pollution by generating local electricity in a sustainable way.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	+?	Provision in line with policy could have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+?	Provision in line with policy could have implications for jobs/training but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY – TC1: TOWN CENTRE REGENERATION		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	Policy provisions re new landscaping and the development of a riverside park are likely to have positive impacts.
Landscape	+	Policy provisions re new landscaping, street furniture and the development of a riverside park are likely to have positive impacts on both soft and hard landscapes in the town centre.
Heritage	+?	Policy provisions re a pedestrian priority scheme and low traffic area will take traffic/traffic pollution out of the town centre/conservation area with possible positive impacts for town centre stone work.
Natural Resources	+	Policy provisions re a pedestrian priority scheme and low traffic area will take traffic/traffic pollution out of the town centre with positive impacts for town centre air quality.
Movement	+	Policy provisions re a pedestrian priority scheme, low traffic area and improved access for all will have positive impacts on active travel within the town centre.
Open Spaces	+	Policy provisions re improved open space will have positive impacts.
Community	+	Policy provisions re protecting/expanding town centre community facilities will have positive impacts.
Housing Provision	+?	Policy support for development which maintains/enhances town centre health, wellbeing, vitality and viability may facilitate new housing provision.
Safety/Security	+	Policy provisions re a pedestrian priority scheme, low traffic area and improved access for all will have positive impacts on the safety of all town centre users.
Social Inclusion	+	Policy provisions re those with ‘special’ needs will have positive impacts on equality by making the town centre more welcoming/easier to use for those with such needs.
Businesses	+	Provision in line with policy should have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work/business/development opportunities.
Jobs/Training	+	Provision in line with policy should have positive implications for jobs/training.

POLICY CFS1: PROTECTION & ENHANCEMENT OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	+/-?	Loss of any facilities provided by designated heritage buildings, e.g. The Ark, The Barn, The Boy's Sunday School, leading to change of use/changes to buildings could conceivably have either positive or negative impacts on their heritage value but it is impossible to predict these at the time of the assessment.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	++	Retention of and possible improvements to listed facilities will have a definite positive impact on community facilities.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	++	Retention of and possible improvements to listed facilities which encourage the coming together of the community (i.e. all of the listed facilities) will have a definite positive impact on inclusiveness within the community.
Businesses	-?	Restrictions placed by the policy upon commercially provided facilities (e.g. swimming pool) may have some negative impact on the freedom of such businesses to for example change use and/or re-develop.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY CFS2: PROTECTION & ENHANCEMENT OF EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	++	Retention of and possible improvements to listed facilities will have a definite positive impact on community facilities.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+	Retention of and possible improvements to listed facilities which encourage the coming together of the community (i.e. all of the listed facilities) will have a definite positive impact on inclusiveness within the community.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY CFS3: PROVISION OF NEW COMMUNITY FACILITIES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	++	Any provision of new community facilities meeting demonstrable need will have a definite positive impact on community facilities.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	++	Provision of new community facilities which encourage the coming together of the community will have a definite positive impact on inclusiveness within the community.
Businesses	+	Provision of any new commercially-run community facilities would make a positive contribution to the NA's business base.
Jobs/Training	+?	Provision of any new commercially-run community facilities could result in more jobs and/or training opportunities within the NA.

POLICY H1: LAND AT MILL LANE		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	Development of this Green Infrastructure site will see some positive impact on biodiversity, through the policy provision for natural landscaping.
Landscape	+	Development of this Green Infrastructure site will see some positive impact on landscape, through the policy provisions for natural landscaping and respecting of key views.
Heritage	+?	The site lies adjacent to the Tadcaster Conservation Area which forms a significant site context, together with the listed viaduct and non-designated former mill and weir assets. Development of the site, in accordance with policy provisions (notably clause a)) may see some positive heritage impacts.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+	Development of this site will undoubtedly impact on the pattern/levels of movement in and around the site. Development in line with policy provisions on street layout (clause d)) should have some positive impact on ease of movement around the site for all users.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	Development of this site will undoubtedly impact positively on housing provision in Tadcaster. There will however be no overall impact in this respect, as a result of the policy's provisions.
Safety/Security	+	Development of this site in line with policy provision e) will see some positive impact on property security and the safety of pedestrians.
Social Inclusion	+	Development of this site, if accepted, will see some positive impact on social inclusion through the policy provision re replacement town centre parking, including disabled and parent/carer/child public spaces in a location easily accessible to the town centre.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY H2: LAND NORTH OF STATION ROAD		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	Development of this Green Infrastructure site will see some positive impact on biodiversity, through the policy provision for enhancement/reinforcement of hedgerows/trees (clause f)) and possibly also re SuDS/swales (clause c)).
Landscape	+	Development of this Green Infrastructure site will see some positive impact on landscape, through the policy provisions for enhancement/reinforcement of hedgerows/trees (clause f)) and design clauses a), b) and e).
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	+?	Policy provision (clause c)) re SuDS/swales may have some positive impact on water resources on site.
Movement	+	Development of this site will undoubtedly impact on the pattern/levels of movement in and around the site. Development in line with policy provisions on street layout (clause e)) and new footpaths (clause g)) should have some positive impact on ease of movement around/into/out of the site for all users.
Open Spaces	+	Development in line with policy provision (clause d)) re recreational open space, including a children's play area, will have positive impacts.
Community	+	Development in line with policy provision (clause h)) re shop/café provision will have positive impacts.
Housing Provision	0	Development of this site will undoubtedly impact positively on housing provision in Tadcaster. There will however be no overall impact in this respect, as a result of the policy's provisions.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+?	Development in line with policy clauses d) and h), may see some positive impact on social inclusion through the establishment of outdoor and indoor spaces which encourage people to come together to begin to forge a new community.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY H3: NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ON NON-ALLOCATED SITES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	+	Should result in enhanced and/or extended nature conservation assets, resulting in a positive impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	+/-?	Development is likely to have at least some impact on air, water and soil resources. Without information on the land to be developed, it is impossible to predict whether positive or negative at time of assessment.
Movement	+/-?	Development is likely to have at least some impact on movement in the vicinity of any acceptable site. Without information on the land to be developed, it is impossible to predict whether positive or negative at time of assessment.
Open Spaces	+	Should result in enhanced and/or extended open space assets, resulting in a positive impact.
Community	+?	Could result in new local service provision to meet need generated by new development, depending on the size of the development, resulting in some overall positive impact.
Housing Provision	++	Development will have a positive impact on local housing numbers.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+?	Any new local service provision may have some positive impact on social inclusion and equality.
Businesses	+?	Development may have a positive impact on the housebuilding industry depending on the scale of any development.
Jobs/Training	+?	Development may have some positive impact on jobs and training, depending on the scale of any development.

POLICY H4: HOUSING MIX		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	++	Development will have a positive impact on the provision of the types of housing required by the NA community.
Safety/Security	+?	Development may possibly lead to safer, more secure accommodation for the older community, i.e. accessible housing.
Social Inclusion	+	A housing mix which meets the needs of the older community and those with 'special' needs is likely to have some positive impact on social inclusion and equality.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY H5: AFFORDABLE HOUSING		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	0	No likely overall impact.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	++	Development will have a positive impact on the level of affordable housing required by the NA community.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+	Policy provisions which seek a high level of affordable house building and the retention of any financial contributions for expenditure within the NA is likely to have some positive impact on social inclusion and equality.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY – E1: NEW EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	-?	New employment development would inevitably lead to some increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements, possibly leading in turn to a negative impact on air quality in the NA.
Movement	0	New employment development would inevitably lead to some increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements, but any negative impacts should be mitigated by policy provisions at clauses a), b) and c).
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	-?	New employment development would inevitably lead to an increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements which are likely to have some negative impacts on traffic congestion in the NA and therefore on highway/pedestrian safety and air quality/health. Policy provisions at clauses a), b) and c) should mitigate against negative highways impacts but not against possible air pollution and consequent health safety impacts.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	+	Encouragement of/support for employment generating uses is likely to have a positive impact on businesses.
Jobs/Training	+	Encouragement of/support for employment generating uses is likely to have a positive impact on jobs/training.

POLICY – E2: LAND AT ROMAN ROAD, LOW MOOR		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	-?	Employment development at Roman Road would inevitably lead to some increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements, possibly leading in turn to a negative impact on air quality in the NA.
Movement	0	Employment development at Roman Road would inevitably lead to some increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements, but any negative impacts should be mitigated by provision at Policy E1 clauses a), b) and c).
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	-?	Employment development at Roman Road would inevitably lead to an increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements which are likely to have some negative impacts on traffic congestion in the NA and therefore on highway/pedestrian safety and air quality/health. Provision at Policy E1 clauses a), b) and c) should mitigate against negative highways impacts but not against possible air pollution and consequent health safety impacts.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	+	Encouragement of/support for employment generating uses at Roman Road is likely to have a positive impact on businesses.
Jobs/Training	+	Encouragement of/support for employment generating uses at Roman Road is likely to have a positive impact on jobs/training.

POLICY E3: HOTEL AND VISITOR ACCOMMODATION DEVELOPMENT		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	-?	Hotel/visitor accommodation development would inevitably lead to some increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements, possibly leading in turn to a negative impact on air quality in the NA.
Movement	0	Hotel/visitor accommodation development would inevitably lead to some increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements, but any negative impacts should be mitigated by policy provision at clause c).
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	-?	Hotel/visitor accommodation development would inevitably lead to an increase in people movements within/into the NA, including motorised vehicular movements which are likely to have some negative impacts on traffic congestion in the NA and therefore on highway/pedestrian safety and air quality/health. Policy provision at clause c) should mitigate against negative highways impacts but not against possible air pollution and consequent health safety impacts.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	+	Provision of any new business would make a positive contribution to the NA's economic base.
Jobs/Training	+	Provision of any new business is likely to result in more jobs/training opportunities in the NA.

POLICY – E4: STUTTON ROAD – LOCAL SHOPS AND SERVICES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+	Policy provision re accessibility and safety for pedestrians and cyclists (clause c)) should have some positive impacts.
Open Spaces	+	Policy support for open space development should have a positive impact.
Community	++	Policy protection for existing shops/services and support for new shop/services/community facilities should have a very positive impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	+	Policy provisions re highway safety (clause a) and pedestrian/cyclist safety (clause c)
Social Inclusion	+	Policy provision re parking for those with special mobility needs (clause b) should have a positive impact.
Businesses	+	Support for provision of any new business would make a positive contribution to the NA's economic base.
Jobs/Training	+	Support for provision of any new business is likely to result in more jobs/training opportunities in the NA.

POLICY – E5: YORK ROAD – LOCAL SHOPS AND SERVICES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+	Policy provision re accessibility and safety for pedestrians and cyclists (clause c)) should have some positive impacts.
Open Spaces	+	Policy support for open space development should have a positive impact.
Community	++	Policy protection for existing shops/services and support for new shop/services/community facilities should have a very positive impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	+	Policy provisions re highway safety (clause a) and pedestrian/cyclist safety (clause c)
Social Inclusion	+	Policy provision re parking for those with special mobility needs (clause b) should have a positive impact.
Businesses	+	Support for provision of any new business would make a positive contribution to the NA's economic base.
Jobs/Training	+	Support for provision of any new business is likely to result in more jobs/training opportunities in the NA.

POLICY TTT1: IMPROVED WALKING, CYCLING AND HORSE-RIDING PROVISION		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	Improvement routes itemised at clauses a) to c) have the potential for minor impacts on local biodiversity within areas of Green & Blue Infrastructure (e.g. through open land between Tadcaster Viaduct and Wighill Lane in the case of clause a)), depending on final confirmed routes. Green & Blue Infrastructure policy GNE1 should serve to mitigate impacts in all cases.
Landscape	0	All itemised improvement routes fall within Green & Blue Infrastructure with some scope for minor landscape impacts. Any improvement works would however be subject to this plan's provisions in respect of infrastructure enhancement (Policy GNE1).
Heritage	+/-?	Improvement routes at clauses b) and c) could run through the conservation area, with some scope for minor heritage impacts, both positive and negative.
Natural Resources	+	Policy seeks to improve public rights of way/cycling provision, reducing motorised vehicle, particularly private car, use as a result, with a possible positive impact on traffic congestion and local air quality.
Movement	+	Policy seeks to improve public rights of way/cycling provision, reducing motorised vehicle, particularly private car, use as a result, with a possible positive impact on traffic congestion.
Open Spaces	+	Improvement of the highlighted routes will have positive benefits in terms of accessibility to spaces available for outdoor recreation and leisure.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	+	Policy seeks to improve public rights of way/cycling provision, reducing motorised vehicle, particularly private car, use as a result, with a possible positive impact on local air quality/health. Policy clauses re improving the user's experience of the networks specifically address cycle parking/storage facilities and designing-in of safety for all users.
Social Inclusion	+	Policy seeks to improve public rights of way/cycling provision impacting positively on non-car users such as older people, the disabled and young people and on poorer members of society.
Businesses	+?	If development to improve highlighted routes takes place, it could have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+?	If development to improve highlighted routes takes place, it could have positive implications for jobs/training, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY – TTT2: HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT SCHEMES		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	Improvement routes itemised at clauses d) and e) have the potential for minor impacts on local biodiversity within areas of Green & Blue Infrastructure, depending on final confirmed routes. Green & Blue Infrastructure policy GNE1 should serve to mitigate impacts in both cases.
Landscape	0	Improvement routes itemised at clauses d) and e) fall within Green & Blue Infrastructure with some scope for minor landscape impacts. Any improvement works would however be subject to this plan's provisions in respect of infrastructure enhancement (Policy GNE1).
Heritage	+/-?	Improvement routes at clauses d) and e) could run through the conservation area, with some scope for minor heritage impacts, both positive and negative.
Natural Resources	+	Policy seeks to reduce traffic/congestion in Tadcaster town centre and improve public rights of way/cycling provision, reducing motorised vehicle, particularly private car, use as a result, with a positive impact on traffic congestion and local air quality.
Movement	++	Policy seeks to enable improvement schemes which would address town centre traffic/congestion, improve cycling routes and prioritise pedestrians in the town centre, so would have a definite positive impact on local movement.
Open Spaces	+	Improvement of routes at clauses d) and e) will have positive benefits in terms of accessibility to spaces available for outdoor recreation and leisure.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	+	Policy seeks to improve cycling provision and pedestrian use of the town centre, potentially reducing motorised vehicle, particularly private car, use as a result, with a possible positive impact on local air quality/health. Policy also seeks to enable schemes which would take traffic out of the town centre.
Social Inclusion	+	Policy seeks to improve cycling provision and pedestrian use of the town centre impacting positively on non-car users such as older people, the disabled and young people and on poorer members of society.
Businesses	+?	If development to improve highlighted routes takes place, it could have positive implications for local businesses i.e. more work, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.
Jobs/Training	+?	If development to improve highlighted routes takes place, it could have positive implications for jobs/training, but impossible to be certain at time of assessment.

POLICY TTT3: TOWN CENTRE PUBLIC PARKING		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	-?	Any additional car parking capacity could lead to an increase in motorised vehicle movements within/into the NA, possibly leading in turn to a negative impact on air quality in the NA.
Movement	0	Any additional car parking capacity could lead to an increase in motorised vehicle movements within/into the NA, which could have some negative impacts on traffic congestion in the NA. Conversely, it could make a positive contribution to accessibility and improve the highway network by taking cars off the highway and making it easier for vehicle users to access Tadcaster centre.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	Any additional car parking capacity would make a positive contribution to highway safety by removing parked cars off the highway, making both driving and road-crossing easier. This might however be balanced by an encouragement of increased vehicle movements, impacting negatively on traffic congestion and possibly highway safety as a result.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	+	Any additional car parking capacity makes a positive contribution to the sustainability of Tadcaster centre retail and community uses making the centre attractive to residents and visitors who use a private vehicle to visit and in turn support local business.
Jobs/Training	+	Any additional car parking capacity makes a positive contribution to the sustainability of Haworth centre retail and community uses making the centre attractive to residents and visitors who use a private vehicle to visit and in turn support local business. More sustainable businesses means more secure and possibly increased job/training opportunities.

POLICY TTT4: RIVERSIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL DROP-OFF/PICK-UP AREA		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	0	No likely overall impact.
Movement	+	Policy is very likely to make a positive contribution to school accessibility and improve the highway network around schools by taking cars off the highway (NB often inconsiderately parked) and making it easier for vehicle and pedestrian users to access both Riverside school, Tower Brewery and Tadcaster centre.
Open Spaces	0	No likely overall impact.
Community	0	No likely overall impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	+	Policy would make a positive contribution to highway safety by removing parked cars off the highway, making both driving and road-crossing easier.
Social Inclusion	0	No likely overall impact.
Businesses	0	No likely overall impact.
Jobs/Training	0	No likely overall impact.

POLICY – LR1: LAND AT LONDON ROAD		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	IMPACT	EXPLANATION
Biodiversity	0	No likely overall impact.
Landscape	0	No likely overall impact.
Heritage	0	No likely overall impact.
Natural Resources	-	Support for employment and other development is likely to lead to increased traffic movements with consequent increases in air pollution.
Movement	0	Support for employment and other development is likely to lead to increased traffic movements. Policy provision in respect of A64/A162 junction improvement should however mitigate effects by taking traffic out of the town centre.
Open Spaces	++	Policy provisions (clauses c) and d)) will have a very positive impact.
Community	+	Policy provision at clause d) will have a positive impact.
Housing Provision	0	No likely overall impact.
Safety/Security	0	No likely overall impact.
Social Inclusion	+	Policy provision at clause b) will have a positive impact in terms of the parking experience of users with 'special' requirements.
Businesses	+	Encouragement of/support for employment generating uses is likely to have a positive impact on businesses.
Jobs/Training	+	Encouragement of/support for employment generating uses is likely to have a positive impact on jobs/training.

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN POLICY NUMBERS																		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	BE1	BE2	BE3	BE4	BE5	BE6	BE7	BE8	GNE1	GNE2	GNE3	GNE4	GNE5	GNE6	GNE7	GNE8	SUMMARY IMPACT 1	
Biodiversity	0	0	0	0	0	+?	+?	0	+	++	++	0	+	+?	++	0	-	
Landscape	+	+?	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	++	++	0	+	+?	+	0	-	
Heritage	++	++	++	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	-	
Natural Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	-	
Movement	0	+?	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	++	+?	++	0	-	
Open Spaces	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+?	+	0	++	++	++	++	++	++	-	
Community	-?	0	0	0	+/-?	0	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	+?	+	0	-	
Housing Provision	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	
Safety /Security	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+?	+?	+?	-	
Social Inclusion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	+	-	
Businesses	-?	-?	-?	-?	+/-?	0	0	-?	0	0	+/-?	0	++?	++?	++?	++?	-	
Jobs/Training	-?	-?	-?	-?	+/-?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++?	++?	++?	++?	-	
SUMMARY IMPACT 2	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-	
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN POLICY NUMBERS																		
BENCHMARK CRITERION	GNE9	GNE10	GNE11	TC1	CFS1	CFS2	CFS3		H1	H2				H3	H4	H5	E1	SUMMARY IMPACT 1
Biodiversity	+	+	0	+	0	0	0		+	+				+	0	0	0	-
Landscape	++	+	0	+	0	0	0		+	+				0	0	0	0	-
Heritage	+?	0	0	+?	+/-?	0	0		+?	0				0	0	0	0	-
Natural Resources	++	+	+	+	0	0	0		0	+?				+/-?	0	0	-?	-
Movement	0	0	0	+	0	0	0		+	+				+/-?	0	0	0	-
Open Spaces	0	0	0	+	0	0	0		0	+				+	0	0	0	-
Community	0	0	0	+	++	++	++		0	+				+	0	0	0	-
Housing Provision	0	0	0	+?	0	0	0		0	0				++	++	++	0	-
Safety /Security	0	0	0	+	0	0	0		+	0				0	+?	0	-?	-
Social Inclusion	0	0	0	+	++	+	++		+	+?				+	+	+	0	-
Businesses	0	0	+?	+	-?	0	+		0	0				+	0	0	+	-
Jobs/Training	0	0	+?	+	0	0	+?		0	0				+	0	0	+	-
SUMMARY IMPACT 2	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve		+ve	+ve				+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN POLICY NUMBERS																	
BENCHMARK CRITERION	E2	E3	E4	E5	TTT1	TTT2	TTT3	TTT4	LR1								SUMMARY IMPACT 1
Biodiversity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								+ve
Landscape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								+ve
Heritage	0	0	0	0	+/-?	+/-?	0	0	0								+ve
Natural Resources	-?	-?	0	0	+	+	-?	0	-								+ve
Movement	0	0	+	+	+	++	0	+	0								+ve
Open Spaces	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	++								+ve
Community	0	0	++	++	0	0	0	0	+								+ve
Housing Provision	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								+ve
Safety /Security	-?	-?	+	+	+	+	0	+	0								+ve
Social Inclusion	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	+								+ve
Businesses	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+								+ve
Jobs/Training	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+								+ve
SUMMARY IMPACT 2	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve								+ve

Summary Impact 1 = impact/contribution of policies as a whole on/to individual sustainability benchmark criteria

- Overall +ve
- No negatives
- No weak positives (i.e. positive score of 2 or less)

Summary Impact 2 = Impact/contribution of individual policies on sustainability/benchmark criteria as a whole

- Overall +ve
- No negatives
- Weak positives (i.e. positive score of 2 or less) – Policies BE2, BE5, BE6, BE7, GNE4, GNE11, E1, E2, E3, TTT3, TTT4